

Effect of Supplemental Fresh Liquid Whey to Drinking Water on Broiler Performance

Adnan N. Al-Asadi Haider T. Al- Kabbi Ahmed K. Kalf Anmar A. Majeed
Department of Animal Resources, College of Agriculture, University of Kufa

Abstract

The present study was conducted at poultry farm, College of Agriculture- Kufa University from 24\11\2007 to 8\1\2008 to evaluate the effects of adding different levels of whey on broiler performance. A total of 204 day old Lohman broiler chicks were used in this study. Chicks were divided into four groups according to study treatments as three replicate for each .

Fresh whey being mixed daily with the drinking water at rate 0, 2.5, 5 and 10%. Drinking water offered *ad libitum* from 1 to 46 days of age. Body Weight gain of birds received 2.5 and 5 % whey were improved significantly at 46 days of age, while 10% whey reduced body weight gain significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) compared with control group at 33-46 days of age. Adding whey to water led to improve feed conversion ratio during 20-46 days of age and significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) improved. Carcass weight in chickens received 2.5 and 5 percent whey was maximum ($P \leq 0.05$) at 46 days of age compared with control group. Gastrointestinal tract weight of chickens received whey was significantly increased ($P \leq 0.05$) with increasing whey concentration. The 10% whey group were heavier weight among other groups. The present study indicate that 2.5 and 5 percent whey in drinking water of broilers had beneficial effects on performance.

Introduction

Many feed additives (probiotic, flavomycin) are routinely used world wide as growth promoters to increase feed efficiency and growth rate of broilers. In the past, the major growth promoters added to the feed of broilers were antibiotics. However, the current research trend is to look for natural alternative to antibiotics because of the public concurrence of antibiotic resistant bacteria (Lee, *et al* 2004). Whey or liquid remaining from cheese production is one of the most valuable protein source in human food chain. In spite of it balanced nutrients, liquid whey is disposed as a waste product (Thivend, 1978).

In 1986, the first international whey conference was conducted in USA. They pointed that, whey is a high nutritional value by - product, a nutrient- rich protein source compared of four main protein fractions (Brunner ,1981). Dried whey that is produced from its liquid form can be used in chickens (Susmel *et al*, 1995). For years, dried whey is used in monogastric nutrition (Balloun, and Khajaren.1974, Damron *et al*, 1971).

It is shown that dietary supplementation of whey powder linearly increase body weight gain and nitrogen retention in turkey poults (Balloun and Khajaren, 1974) and in broiler chickens (Al-Ubaid and Bird ,1964 Karmenshahi and Rostam, 2006). Dietary supplementations of dried whey to monogastrics significantly improved

digestible protein fat and feed to gain ratio (Balloun and Khajaren 1974) and increases the absorption of minerals like Ca,P,Cu,Fe and Mg(Earl and Salim 1982). Therefore, the objective of this study was to valuate the effect of whey levels in water on performance of broiler chickens.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted in poultry farm of Animal Resources Department, College of Agriculture-Kufa University. The objective of this experiment was to evaluate the effect of whey supplemented to drinking water on broiler performance from 1-46 days of age. Two hundred forty day-old unsexed Lohman broiler chicks divided into 4 group with three replicates for each (20 birds/replicate) with 3m² (1.5m×2m) for each replicate, distributed in different treatments at Complete Randomize Design (CRD).Birds reared for 46 days using the standard brooding practices, and good veterinarian vaccine program ,use of alive vaccines ay one day old for IB and Newcastle disease by spry method (Ceva type)and then at seven dyes vaccinated by injection of oil vaccine(InterVet) for IB and Newcastle disease, at 12 dyes of age vaccinated with IBD vaccine (Tad Clon type). They reared on wood shaving litter. The feed and water provided *ad libitum* in the brooding house and fed a commercial standard starter diet from 1 to 4 weeks and then finisher diet (Table 2).Four levels of fresh whey were provided to the bird's farm every day. It was mixed with drinking water at rate 0 , 2.5 , 5 and 10%.The product whey were prepared every day (obtained from private cheese factory) and provided to the different broiler treatments as a source of whey and drinking water throughout the experimental period. Body weight gain (BWG) and feed intake (FI), feed Conversion Rate (F/G) recorded weekly throughout the end of experiment. Five broiler chickens for each group were slaughter to measured carcass weight and gastrointestinal tract (GIT), gastrointestinal tract to carcass weight at 46 days of age (GIT/C).Statistical analysis in this experiment was Completely Randomized Design CRD using SAS (SAS,1992). Different among treatment means were detected using the LSD Procedure of SAS (SAS,1992).

Table1. Chemical Analysis of Whey

Element	Water (%)	Lactose (%)	Fat (%)	Protein (%)	Ash (%)	Solids (%)
Percentage	90.5	5	0.2	3.5	0.8	9.509

*from (Tariq M.N. , 2007; Nadia A.M. 2007)

Results & Discussion

The performance of chicken including body weight gain at 11, 20, 25, 33, 40 and 46 days of age are present in (table 3) which appeared the levels of whey in water had no significant effect or body weight gain at 0-20 days of age, but was increase significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) at 25 day in five percent level of whey. Ten percent level whey

had reduced body weight gain from 33- 46 days and the body weight gain were less than control group significantly ($P \leq 0.05$).

The overall body weight gain (0-46) days of age was significantly improved ($P \leq 0.05$) by adding 2.5 and 5% level of whey (table 4).

Table 2. Composition of experimental diet

Ingredients and composition	Starter(0-28)	Finisher(29-49)
	%	%
Yellow corn	35	40
Wheat	30.2	30
Soybean meal (44%CP)	22.5	18.2
Protein concentrate	10	10
Sodium chloride	0.4	0.4
Di Calcium Phosphate	0.4	0.4
Limestone	0.9	0.9
DL-Methionine	0.08	0.08
Vegetable Oil	0.8	-
Calculated Analysis *		
Crude Protein %	22	20
M.E.(Kcal\Kg feed)	2950	2950

* according to the NRC (1994) specification

Table 3. Body weight gain (Mean \pm SEM) measured weekly

Age week	Whey			
	Control	2.5 %	5 %	10 %
2	119 \pm 12.8	124 \pm 15.1	121 \pm 12	121 \pm 106
3	227 \pm 31.4	273 \pm 27.5	279 \pm 28.3	275 \pm 13
4	437 \pm 19.8	445 \pm 21.4	501 \pm 29.8*	430 \pm 16.9
5	806 \pm 25.7	810 \pm 29.2	902 \pm 32.5*	753 \pm 25.4*
6	1210 \pm 37	1248 \pm 20*	1318 \pm 29.3**	1066 \pm 23.9*
7	1366 \pm 61.2	1394 \pm 24.8*	1591 \pm 50.1**	1210 \pm 53.9*

* Significant at level ($P \leq 0.05$) compared with control, ** significant at level ($P \leq 0.01$)

The overall feed intake among treatments was not affected by different Whey levels. However, addition of whey improved the overall feed conversion rate of broiler significantly ($P \leq 0.05$). Addition of whey up to five percent level significantly improved the overall feed conversion rate, however ten percent level whey reduce feed conversion significantly ($P \leq 0.05$)(table 4).

Carcass weight at 46 days of age was significant ($P \leq 0.05$) increase by adding of 2.5 and 5 percent of whey. However, at this stage 10 percent level whey had significant decrease carcass weight when compared with control group (table 5).

Supplementation of whey to drinking water significantly increases of the gastrointestinal tract weight with highest percentage of the gastrointestinal tract to carcass weight occurred in group received 10 % liquid whey (table 5). The appearance

of ceca in chicken received whey were expanded and foamy when compared with control group

Table 4. Effect of whey on overall broiler performance (Mean \pm SEM) 0-46 days of age

Whey %	BWG (g)	FI (g)	F/G
0	1366 \pm 62.4	3.144	2.84
2.5	1374 \pm 38.6*	2.928	2.76*
5	1591 \pm 51.3**	3.07	2.4*
10	1210 \pm 29.2*	2.88	2.64*

* significant at level ($P \leq 0.05$) compared with control , ** significant at level ($P \leq 0.01$)

Table 5. Gastrointestinal tract & carcass weight & the percentage of gastrointestinal tract to carcass weight at 46 days of age (Mean \pm SEM)

Whey %	GIT (g)	Carcass weight (g)	GIT/C %
0	147.6 \pm 18.1	1396 \pm 31.7	10.5
2.5	162.8 \pm 14.2	1493 \pm 25.4*	10.9
5	175.6 \pm 8.8*	1582 \pm 50.5**	11
10	180 \pm 7.3 **	1263 \pm 38.2*	14.2

* significant at level ($P \leq 0.05$) compared with control , ** significant at level ($P \leq 0.01$)

For the years whey powder has been known as a source of unidentified growth factor and used in poultry diets (Susmel *et al.*, 1995). Body weight gain at 46 days of age in chickens supplemented whey was higher with 2.5 and 5 percent level whey than that of control group. These results are in agreement with others (Al-Ubaid and Bird, 1964; Karmenshahi and Rostam, 2006 ; Shariatmadari and Forbes, 2005 and Rostam, 2006) in chickens and (Nurmi and Rantala, 1973) in turkeys. Prebiotic stimulate the growth and /or activity of select number of bacteria in the GIT and improve the hosts health. Probiotics have been shown to alter gastrointestinal microflora, (Cummings and Macfarlane, 2002 ; Cummings *et al.*, 2001) The population of useful bacteria likes *lactobacillus* and *bifidobacteria* increases (Ziggers, 2000) and the PH of the GIT, due to increasing production of volatile fatty acids. Therefore the environment of GIT becomes unsuitable for the activity and proliferation of pathogens like *salmonella* (Waldroup, *et al.* 1992). Based on concept of competitive exclusion (Nurmi and Rantala, 1973), pathogens will be expelled out of the gut by useful bacteria if it already occupied the gut sites. Researchers attributed the unidentified growth factor of whey to its balance amino acids (Al-Ubaid and Bird, 1964) high protein efficiency ratio (Susmel *et al.*, 1995) rich source of water soluble vitamins (Modler, 1982 ; Zadow and Csiro, 1984). Poultry are lacking lactase enzyme (Harms *et al.*, 1977) and whey as liquid form had 5 percent lactose (table-1) (Tariq, 2007; Nadia, 2007) Therefore high levels of whey to poultry drinking water can not digested well and may cause some osmotic diarrhea that we saw in the chickens fed 10 percent whey. The lower weight at 46 days of age may also attribute to this effect. The overall improvement of feed conversion

rate in this study was supported by others (Barnett *et al*, 1959 ; Karmenshahi and Rostam, 2006). There are some reports showing whey powder up to 4 percent increase fat and protein digestibility (Balloun, and Khajaren ,1974; Susmel *et al*, 1995) and increase absorption of some minerals like calcium and phosphorus (Al-Ubaid and Bird ,1964 ; Shariatmadari and Forbes ,2005) these factors can improve the efficiency of feed consumed by birds. Partial improvement might be related to the beneficial effect of lactose on gut microorganisms like *lactobacillus* and *bifidobacteria* in chickens (Al-Ubaid and Bird ,1964 ; Barnett *et al*, 1959 ; Corrier *et al*,1990).

Lactose that is a major component of whey (Zigger, 2000), is a prebiotic but since poultry are lacking lactase enzyme (Harms *et al*,1977) then lactose can not be digested or absorbed efficiently and almost research to ceca and large intestine intact (Langhout , 1998 ; Spring *et al*, 2000). In ceca the population of useful bacteria like *lactobacillus* and *bifidobacteria* (Spring *et al*, 2000) increases and the pH of GIT decreases due to increasing production of volatile fatty acids. Therefore environment of GIT becomes unsuitable for activity and proliferation of pathogens like *Salmonella* (Nurmi and Rantala ,1973 ; Waldroup *et al* , 1990). Lactose as a prebiotic can alleviate the conditions of the gut in favor of colonization of useful bacteria (Langhout , 1998, Spring *et al*, 2000). Therefore increase the ceca weight and volume also the foamy form of ceca are all the evidence clearly indicating lactose in whey is fermented by *lactobacillus* and / or *coliforms* (Tellez *et al* ,1993). Heavier GIT of the chickens watered high level of whey is also related to the heavier ceca in this study by increase whey level the ceca were bigger and foamier, this result in agreement with Karmenshahi and Rostam (2006) and this results was also conformed by other (Corrier,1999) since microflora in the ceca of younger chickens (0-21) days are not completed yet. Therefore, the chickens received more whey in comparison with control group, feed conversion ratio (F/G) should be higher and feed is less digested under the conditions of this study, use of whey at level of five percent had beneficial effects on broiler performance at rearing period.

References

- Al-Ubaid, Y.Y. and H.R. Bird, 1964. Assay for the unidentified growth factors in dried whey. *Poult.Sci.*,43:1848-1851.
- Balloun, S.L. and J.K. Khajaren , 1974. The effect of whey and yeast on digestibility of nutrient in feather meal. *Poult. Sci.*, 53:1084-1095.
- Barnett, B.D. and H.R. Bird, 1959. Standardization of assay for an identified growth factors. *Poult. Sci.*,35: 705-710.
- Brunner, J.R. ,1981. Cow milk proteins: Twenty –Five years of progress. *J.Dairy Sci.*,64:1038-1054.
- Corrier, D. E., A. J. Hinton , R. L. Ziprin , R. C. Beier and J. R. Deloach, 1990. Effect of dietary lactose on cecal pH, Bacterio static volatile fatty acids and *Salmonella hyphiumurium* colonization of broiler chicks. *Avian Dis.*,34:668-676.
- Cummings, J.H. and G.T. Macfarlane , 2002 . Gastrointestinal effects of prebiotics. *Br. J. Nutr.* , 87(Suppl.2): 145-151.
- Cummings, J.H., G.T. Macfarlane ,and H.N. Englyst, 2001. Prebiotic digestion and fermentation .*Am. J. Clin.Nutr.*, 37 (Suppl):415S-420S.

- Damron , B.L. , D.P. Eberst and R.H.Harms , 1971 .The influence of partially delactosed whey, fish meal and supplemental biotin in broiler diets. *Poult.Sci.*, 50:1768-1771.
- Earl, W. G. and A. A. Salim, 1982. The effect of lactose supplementation and source on feed intake and production characteristics of laying hens .*Poult.Sci.*, 61: 2390-2397.
- Harms , R.H. ;B.L. Damron and C.F. Simpson ,1977 .Effect of wet litter and supplemental biotin and /or whey on the production of feet pad dermatitis in broilers. *Poult.Sci.*, 56: 291-299.
- Karmenshahi, H. and H. Rostam , 2006 .Influence of supplemental dried whey on broiler performance and cecal flora. *Int.J. Poult.Sci.*, 5:538-543.
- Langhout ,D.J., 1998 . Effect of carbohydrates on microbial activity in the intestinal tract and subsequent effects on nutrient digestion in broiler chicks. In: the role of the intestinal flora as affected by non- starch polysaccharides in broiler chicks. Ph.D.thesis of Agricultural University of Wageningen pp: 7- 49.
- Lee, K.W. ,H. Evarts and H.C. Beynen 2004 .Essential oils in broiler nutrient. *Int. J. Poult.Sci.*, 3:738-752.
- Lenenthal, E.K. Tsuboi and N Kretchmer , 1974 . Characterization of human intestinal lactose and hetero-galactosidoses in infants and adults. *J. Gastroenterol.*, 67:1107-1113.
- Modler, H,W., 1982 . The use of whey as animal feed and fertilizer. *Int. Dairy Federation .Brussels, Belgium.*
- Nadia, A.M. 2007.Egyptian encyclopedia in dairy products technique and its products. PP: 207 .
- Nurmi, E.N. and M. Rantala , 1973 , New aspects of *Salmonella* infection in broiler production.*Nature*,241:210-212.
- National Research Council .1994. Nutritional Requirements of poultry. 9th rev. ed. National Academy Press, Washington ,DC.
- SAS,2001. SAS User's Guide : Statistics Version 6th ed. SAS institute Inc.,Cary, NC.
- Shariatmadari,F. and J.M.Forbes, 2005 . Performance of Broiler chickens given whey in the food and /or drinking water. *Br.Poult.Sci.* 46:498-505.
- Spring , P. C. Wenk, K. A. Dawson and K. E. Newman , 2000 .The effect of dietary Mannan oligosaccharides on cecal parameter and the concentration of enteric bacteria in the ceca of *Salmonella* –challenged broiler chicks. *Poult. Sci.* 79:205-211.
- Susmel. P., M. Spanghero, C.R. Mills and B.Stefanon.,1995. Rumens fermentation characteristics and digestibility of cattle diets containing different whey:maize ratio,*Anim.Feed Sci. Tec.*,53:81-88.
- Tariq , M.N.2007. West Products Of Dairy Industry (Its usage and advantage) PP:6-8.
- Tellez,G.,C.E. Dean, D.E.Corrier,J.R.Doloach,L.Jeager and Hargis , 1993 .Effect of Dietary lactose on cecal morphology .pH, organic acids, and *salmonella enteritidis* organ invasion in leghorn chicks. *Poult.Sci.*, 72:636-642.
- Thivend,P., 1978 . Use of whey in feeding ruminants :with particular reference to pollution problems: *World Anim. Rev.*,23:20.
- Waldroup,A.L., W.Yamaguchi, J.T.Skinner and W.W. Waldro 1992 . Effect of dietary lactose on incidence and level of *Salmonella* on Carcasses of broiler chickens to market age .*Poult.Sci.*, 71: 288-295.

- Zadow, J.G.and S.Csiro , 1984. Lactose: Properties and uses .J.Dairy Sci.,57:2654-2679.
- Zigger, D. ,2000 . Tos, a new peribiotic derived from whey. Feed Tech.,5:34-36.

تأثير إضافة الشرش السائل مع ماء الشرب في الأداء الإنتاجي لفروج اللحم

عدنان نعمة الاسدي حيدر طعمة الكعبي أحمد قاسم خلف أنمار عبد الغني مجيد
قسم الثروة الحيوانية ، كلية الزراعة ، جامعة الكوفة

المستخلص

أجريت هذه الدراسة الحالية في كلية الزراعة - جامعة الكوفة للفترة من 2007/11/24 لغاية 2008/1/8 لمعرفة تأثير إضافة مستويات مختلفة من الشرش في ماء الشرب المقدم للأفراخ في الأداء الإنتاجي لفروج اللحم.

استخدم في الدراسة (240) فرخاً من نوع (لومان) تم جلبها من احد المفاسق الأهلية في الحلة وقسمت الأفراخ على أربع مجاميع حسب معاملات التجربة وبواقع ثلاثة مكررات للمعاملة الواحدة . وقد تم مزج الشرش الطازج وتقديمه يومياً مع ماء الشرب بمستويات 0 ، 2.5 ، 5 و 10 % . وبصورة حرة خلال فترة التربية والبالغة (46) يوماً. الزيادة الوزنية عند عمر 46 يوماً في الأفراخ التي أعطيت 2.5 و 5 % شرش تفوقت معنوياً ($P \leq 0.05$) و ($P \leq 0.01$) على التوالي على مجموعة المقارنة و 10% باقي المجاميع بينما لوحظ إن إضافة 10 % من الشرش قد أدى إلى تقليل معدل الزيادة الوزنية مقارنة بمجموعة السيطرة معنوياً ($P \leq 0.05$) خلال الفترة (33 - 46) يوم من عمر الأفراخ. كما لوحظ إن إضافة الشرش أدت إلى تحسين كفاءة التحويل الغذائي للعلف خلال الفترة (20 - 46) يوم ، كما أدت إلى تحسن معنوي ($P \leq 0.05$) في وزن الذبيحة بعمر 46 يوم في مجموعة 2.5 أو 5%. أما وزن الأمعاء فكان يزداد طردياً مع زيادة نسبة الشرش إذ حققت مجموعة 10 % أعلى وزن .

نستدل من ذلك إن إضافة الشرش بالمستويات 2.5 و 5 % إلى ماء الشرب المقدم للأفراخ

أدى إلى تحسين في الأداء الإنتاجي لفروج اللحم.