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#### Abstract

This paper aims to study the geometrical properties of the conharmonic curvature tensor of a locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifold. The necessary and sufficient conditions for the conharmonic curvature tensor to be flat, the locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifold to be normal and an $\eta$-Einstein manifold were determined.


## 1. Introduction

The conformal transformation on the Riemannian manifold preserves the angle between two vectors. However, generally, this conformal transformation does not preserve the harmonicity of functions. A harmonic function is one with a vanishing Laplacian. Subsequently, Ishi [11] studied a conformal transformation that preserves the harmonicity of a certain function, referred to as the conharmonic transformation. In particular, he introduced a tensor of rank four that is invariant under conharmonic transformations for an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold, also known as conharmonic curvature tensor.

Many researchers studied the aforementioned tensor on certain classes of almost Hermatian and almost contact metric manifolds. Ghosh et al. [8] focused on conharmonically symmetric $N(K)$-manifolds, particularly to establish if an $n$-dimensional $N(K)$-manifold is conharmonically symmetric, then it is locally isometric to the product $E^{(n+1)}(0) \times S^{n}(4)$. De et al. [7] studied the properties of conharmonically semisymmetric and $\xi$-conharmonically flat generalised Sasakian space forms. Abood and Abdulameer [1] found the necessary and sufficient conditions required by the flat conharmonic Vaisman-Gray manifold to become an Einstein manifold. Further, Ignatochkina and Abood [10] investigated the geometric significance of the vanishing conharmonic curvature tensor of a Vaisman-Gray manifold and proved that the conharmonic flat VaismanGray manifolds of dimensions greater than four are locally conformal Kähler
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manifolds with vanishing scalar curvature tensor. Prakasha and Hadimani [18] characterised locally $\Phi$-conharmonically symmetric and flat Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to a generalised Tanaka-Webster connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$. Recently, Abood and Abdulameer [2] employed the $G$-adjoined structure space to study the geometry of the Vaisman-Gray manifold of pointwise constant holomorphic sectional conharmonic tensor.

## 2. Preliminaries

This section revisits the fundamental concepts in our work, particularly the structural equations of the locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifold.

Definition 2.1 ([4]). Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a smooth manifold of odd dimension $\geq 3, \eta$ a differential contact 1-form, $\xi$ a characteristic vector field and $\Phi$ a structure endomorphism of the module of the vector fields $\chi(M)$. The triplet of tensors $(\eta, \xi, \Phi)$ will be referred to as an almost contact structure if the following conditions hold:
(1) $\eta(\xi)=1$;
(2) $\Phi(\xi)=0$;
(3) $\eta \circ \Phi=0$;
(4) $\Phi^{2}=-i d+\eta \otimes \xi$.

Moreover, if there is a Riemannian metric $g=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ on $M$ such that $\langle\Phi X, \Phi Y\rangle=$ $\langle X, Y\rangle-\eta(X) \eta(Y), X, Y \in \chi(M)$, then the quadruple $(\eta, \xi, \Phi, g)$ will be known as an almost contact metric structure. In this case, the manifold $M$ equipped with the mentioned structure, is called an almost contact metric manifold.

Definition 2.2 ([13]). Let $(M, \eta, \Phi, g)$ be an almost contact metric manifold ( $\mathcal{A C}$-manifold). On the module $\chi(M)$, there are two mutually complementary projections $m$ and $\ell$, where $m=\eta \otimes \xi$ and $\ell=-\Phi^{2}$; thus, $\chi(M)=L \oplus \aleph$, where $L=\operatorname{Im}(\Phi)=\operatorname{ker} \eta$ and $\aleph=\operatorname{Im}(m)=\operatorname{ker} \Phi$.

Definition 2.3 ([13]). In the module $L^{c}$ (complexification of $L$ ) two mutually endomorphisms $\sigma$ and $\bar{\sigma}$ are given as $\sigma=\frac{1}{2}(i d-\sqrt{-1} \Phi)$ and $\bar{\sigma}=-\frac{1}{2}(i d+$ $\sqrt{-1} \Phi)$. Moreover, there are two projections given by the forms

$$
\Pi=\sigma \circ \ell=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\Phi^{2}+\sqrt{-1} \Phi\right) \text { and } \bar{\Pi}=\bar{\sigma} \circ \ell=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\Phi^{2}+\sqrt{-1} \Phi\right)
$$

where $\sigma \circ \Phi=\Phi \circ \sigma=i \sigma$ and $\bar{\sigma} \circ \Phi=\Phi \circ \bar{\sigma}=-i \bar{\sigma}$. Therefore, if we consider $\operatorname{Im} \Pi=D_{\Phi}^{\sqrt{-1}}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \bar{\Pi}=D_{\Phi}^{-\sqrt{-1}}$, then

$$
\chi^{c}(M)=D_{\Phi}^{\sqrt{-1}} \oplus D_{\Phi}^{-\sqrt{-1}} \oplus D_{\Phi}^{0}
$$

where $D_{\Phi}^{\sqrt{-1}}, D_{\Phi}^{-\sqrt{-1}}$ and $D_{\Phi}^{0}$ are proper submodules with values $\sqrt{-1},-\sqrt{-1}$ and 0 , respectively.

Definition 2.4 ([16]). The mappings $\sigma_{p}: L_{p} \longrightarrow D_{\Phi}^{\sqrt{ }-1}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_{p}: L_{p} \longrightarrow$ $D_{\Phi}^{-\sqrt{-1}}$ denote an isomorphism and an anti-isomorphism, respectively. Therefore, at each point $p \in M^{2 n+1}$, there is a frame in $T_{p}^{c}(M)$ of the form $\left(p, \varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, \varepsilon_{n}, \varepsilon_{\hat{1}}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{\hat{n}}\right)$, where $\varepsilon_{a}=\sqrt{2} \sigma_{p}\left(e_{p}\right), \varepsilon_{\hat{a}}=\sqrt{2} \bar{\sigma}\left(e_{p}\right), \hat{a}=a+n, \varepsilon_{0}=\xi_{p}$, and $e_{a}$ are the bases of $L_{p}$. The frame $\left(p, \varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}, \varepsilon_{\hat{1}}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{\hat{n}}\right)$ is known as an $A$-frame.

Lemma 2.5 ([14]). The components matrices of the tensors $\Phi_{p}$ and $g_{p}$ in the A-frame are given as:

$$
\left(\Phi_{j}^{i}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{-1} I_{n} & o \\
0 & 0 & -\sqrt{-1} I_{n}
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(g_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -I_{n} \\
0 & I_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $I_{n}$ is the identity matrix of order $n$.
Noteworthy is that the set of such frames defines a $G$-structure on $M$ with structure group $1 \times U(n)$, which is represented by the matrices of the form $\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A\end{array}\right)$, where $A \in U(n)$. The mentioned structure is known as a $G$-adjoined structure space.

Throughout this paper, indices $i, j, k, \ldots$ have been assumed to range from 0 to $2 n$, while indices $a, b, c, d, f, g, \ldots$ from 1 to $n$; moreover, $\hat{a}=a+n, \hat{\hat{a}}=a$ and $\hat{0}=0$ have been set.

Definition 2.6 ([4]). An antisymmetric tensor $\Omega(X, Y)=g(X, \Phi Y)$ is referred to as a fundamental form of the $\mathcal{A C}$-structure.

Lemma 2.7 ([16]). An $\mathcal{A C}$-structure is normal if and only if the following is present on the $G$-adjoined structure space:

$$
\Phi_{b, c}^{\hat{a}}=\Phi_{\hat{b}, \hat{c}}^{a}=\Phi_{b, 0}^{\hat{a}}=\Phi_{\hat{b}, 0}^{a}=\Phi_{a, b}^{0}=\Phi_{\hat{a}, \hat{b}}^{0}=\Phi_{a, 0}^{0}=\Phi_{\hat{a}, 0}^{0}=0 .
$$

Definition 2.8 ([9]). An almost contact metric structure $S=(\eta, \xi, \Phi, g)$ will be known as an almost cosymplectic structure $\left(\mathcal{A C}_{\rho}\right.$-structure $)$ if the following conditions hold.
(1) $d \eta=0$;
(2) $d \Omega=0$.

Definition 2.9 ([4]). A normal almost cosymplectic structure is said to be cosymplectic.
Definition 2.10 ([17]). A conformal transformation of an $\mathcal{A C}$-structure $S=$ $(\eta, \xi, \Phi, g)$ on a manifold indicates the transformation of an $S$ to an $\mathcal{A C}$ structure $\widetilde{S}=(\widetilde{\eta}, \widetilde{\xi}, \widetilde{\Phi}, \widetilde{g})$ such that

$$
\widetilde{\eta}=e^{-\sigma} \eta, \quad \widetilde{\xi}=e^{\sigma} \xi, \quad \widetilde{\Phi}=\Phi, \quad \widetilde{g}=e^{-2 \sigma} g
$$

where $\sigma$ is the determining function of the conformal transformation. If $\sigma=$ const, then the conformal transformation is said to be trivial.

Definition 2.11 ([17]). An $\mathcal{A C}$-structure S on a manifold $M$ is said to be a locally conformal almost cosymplectic ( $\mathcal{L C} \mathcal{A C}$-structure) if the restriction of $S$ on some neighbourhood $U$ of a point $p \in M$ admits a conformal transformation of an almost cosymplectic structure. This transformation referred to as locally conformal. A manifold $M$ equipped with a $\mathcal{L C} \mathcal{A C}$-structure is known as a $\mathcal{L C A C}$-manifold.

Lemma 2.12 ([15]). In the $G$-adjoined structure space, a $\mathcal{L C} \mathcal{A C}$-manifold is said to be normal if and only if the following equalities hold:

$$
B^{a b c}=B_{a b c}=B^{a b}=B_{a b}=\sigma^{a}=\sigma_{a}=0
$$

Lemma 2.13 ([12]). In the $G$-adjoined structure space, the structural equations of a $\mathcal{L C} \mathcal{A C}$-manifold hold the following form:
(1) $d \omega^{a}=-\omega_{b}^{a} \wedge \omega^{b}+B_{c}^{a b} \omega^{c} \wedge \omega_{b}+B^{a b c} \omega_{b} \wedge \omega_{c}+B_{b}^{a} \omega \wedge \omega^{b}+B^{a b} \omega \wedge \omega_{b}$;
(2) $d \omega_{a}=\omega_{a}^{b} \wedge \omega_{b}+B_{a b}^{c} \omega_{c} \wedge \omega^{b}+B_{a b c} \omega^{b} \wedge \omega^{c}+B_{a}^{b} \omega \wedge \omega_{b}+B_{a b} \omega \wedge \omega^{b}$;
(3) $d \omega=C_{b} \omega \wedge \omega^{b}+C^{b} \omega \wedge \omega_{b}$;
(4) $d \omega_{b}^{a}=-\omega_{c}^{a} \wedge \omega_{b}^{c}+A_{b}^{a c d} \omega_{c} \wedge \omega_{d}+A_{b c d}^{a} \omega^{c} \wedge \omega^{d}+A_{b d}^{a c} \omega^{d} \wedge \omega_{c}$ $+A_{b c 0}^{a} \omega \wedge \omega^{c}+A_{b}^{a c 0} \omega \wedge \omega_{c} ;$
(5) $d B^{a b c}=-B^{d b c} \omega_{d}^{a}-B^{a d c} \omega_{d}^{b}-B^{a b d} \omega_{d}^{c}+B^{a b c d} \omega_{d}+B_{d}^{a b c} \omega^{d}+B^{a b c 0} \omega$;
(6) $d B_{a b c}=B_{d b c} \omega_{a}^{d}+B_{a d c} \omega_{b}^{d}+B_{a b d} \omega_{c}^{d}+B_{a b c d} \omega^{d}+B_{a b c}^{d} \omega_{d}+B_{a b c 0} \omega$;
(7) $d B^{a b}=-B^{d b} \omega_{d}^{a}-B^{a d} \omega_{d}^{b}+D^{a b d} \omega_{d}+D_{d}^{a b} \omega^{d}+D^{a b 0} \omega$;
(8) $d B_{a b}=B_{d b} \omega_{a}^{d}+B_{a d} \omega_{b}^{d}+D_{a b d} \omega^{d}+D_{a b}^{d} \omega_{d}+D_{a b 0} \omega$;
(9) $d \sigma^{b}=-\sigma^{c} \omega_{c}^{b}+\sigma^{b c} \omega_{c}+\sigma_{c}^{b} \omega^{c}+\sigma^{b 0} \omega$;
(10) $d \sigma_{b}=-\sigma_{c} \omega_{b}^{c}+\sigma_{b c} \omega^{c}+\sigma_{b}^{c} \omega_{c}+\sigma_{b 0} \omega$;
(11) $d \sigma_{0}=\sigma_{0 b} \omega^{b}+\sigma_{0}^{b} \omega_{b}+\sigma_{00} \omega$.

Here $B^{a b c}, B_{a b c} ; B^{a b}, B_{a b} ; B_{b}^{a}, B_{a}^{b} ; C^{a b}, C_{a b} ; C^{b}, C_{b} ; A_{b}^{a c d}, A_{a c d}^{b} ; A_{b d}^{a c} ; A_{b}^{a c 0}$, $A_{a c 0}^{b} ; B^{a b c i}, B_{a b c i} ; D^{a b i}, D_{a b i}$ and $\sigma_{i j}$ are smooth functions in the $G$-adjoined structure space.

Definition 2.14 ([6]). The Ricci tensor is a tensor of type $(2,0)$, which is defined by

$$
r_{i j}=-R_{i j k}^{k}
$$

Lemma 2.15 ([3]). In the $G$-adjoined structure space, all essential components of the Ricci tensor of a $\mathcal{L C} \mathcal{A C}$-manifold are given by the following formulae:
(1) $r_{a b}=2\left(-2 A_{(a b) c}^{c}-4\left(\sigma^{[c} \delta_{[b}^{h]} B_{c] h a}+\sigma^{[c} \delta_{[a}^{h]} B_{c] h b}\right)+\sigma_{0} B_{a[c} \delta_{b]}^{c}+\sigma_{0} B_{b[c} \delta_{a]}^{c}\right.$ $+2 \sigma_{0} B_{a b}-D_{a b 0}-\sigma_{a b}-\sigma_{a} \sigma_{b}+2 B_{b a h} \sigma^{h} ;$
(2) $r_{\hat{a} b}=-4\left(\delta_{[b}^{[a} \sigma_{c]}^{c]}-\sigma_{[c} \delta_{h]}^{b} \sigma^{[h} \delta_{c}^{a]}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{[a} \delta_{b}^{h]} \sigma_{h}+B^{h c a} B_{h c b}+B^{b c h} B_{c h a}\right)$ $+\left(B^{c b} B_{a c}-B_{h b} B^{a h}\right)+A_{a c}^{c b}-\delta_{b}^{a} \sigma_{00}-2 n \sigma_{0}^{2}-\sigma_{b}^{a}-\sigma^{a} \sigma_{b} ;$
(3) $r_{a 0}=-A_{a c 0}^{c}-\sigma^{c} B_{a c}+n \sigma_{0} \sigma_{a}+2\left(\sigma_{0[c} \delta_{a]}^{c}+B^{c b} B_{b c a}-2 \sigma^{[c} \delta_{[c}^{h]} B_{a] h}\right)$;
(4) $r_{o o}=-2 n\left(\sigma_{00}+\sigma_{0}^{2}\right)-2 B_{h c} B^{c h}-2\left(\sigma_{c}^{c}+\sigma^{c} \sigma_{c}\right)+4 \sigma^{[c} \delta_{c}^{h]} \sigma_{h}$.

The remaining components can be found by considering the complex conjugation operator of the above components.

Definition 2.16 ([3]). A $\mathcal{L C} \mathcal{A C} \mathcal{C}_{\rho}$-manifold has a $\Phi$-invariant property if $\Phi \circ r=$ $r \circ \Phi$.

Lemma 2.17 ([3]). A $\mathcal{L C A C} \mathcal{C l}_{\rho}$-manifold has $\Phi$-invariant property if and only if the following condition holds in the G-adjoined structure space:

$$
r_{b}^{\hat{a}}=r_{a b}=r_{0}^{\hat{a}}=r_{a 0}=0 .
$$

Definition 2.18 ([5]). A pseudo-Riemannian manifold $M$ is known as an $\eta$ Einstein of type $(\alpha, \beta)$ if its Ricci tensor satisfies the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\alpha g+\beta \eta \otimes \eta \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are suitable smooth functions. If $\beta=0$, then $M$ is referred to as an Einstein manifold.

This section ends with the discussion of the conharmonic curvature tensor and its components.

Definition 2.19 ([11]). Let $M$ be an $\mathcal{A C}$-manifold of dimension $2 n+1$. A tensor $T$ of rank $(4,0)$ is invariant under a conharmonic transformation and can be defined by the following:

$$
T_{i j k l}=R_{i j k l}-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left(r_{j l} g_{i k}-r_{j k} g_{i l}+r_{i k} g_{j l}-r_{i l} g_{j k}\right)
$$

is called the conharmonic curvature tensor.
Lemma 2.20 ([3]). In the $G$-adjoined structure space, the non-zero components of the conharmonic curvature tensor of a $\mathcal{L C} \mathcal{A C}$-manifold are calculated using the following formulae:
(1) $T_{a b c d}=2\left(2 B_{[c|a b| d]}-2 \sigma_{[a} B_{b] c d}+B_{a[c} B_{d] b}\right)$;
(2) $T_{\hat{a} b c d}=2\left(A_{b c d}^{a}+4 \sigma^{[a} \delta_{[c}^{h]} B_{d] h b}-\sigma_{0} B_{b[d} \delta_{c]}^{a}\right)-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left(r_{b d} \delta_{c}^{a}-r_{b c} \delta_{d}^{a}\right)$;
(3) $T_{\hat{a} b c \hat{d}}=A_{b c}^{a d}+4 \sigma^{[a} \delta_{c}^{h]} \sigma_{[h} \delta_{b]}^{d}-4 B^{d a h} B_{c h b}+B^{a d} B_{b c}-\delta_{c}^{a} \delta_{b}^{d} \sigma_{0}^{2}$

$$
-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left(r_{b}^{d} \delta_{c}^{a}+r_{c}^{a} \delta_{b}^{d}\right) ;
$$

(4) $T_{\hat{a} \hat{b} c d}=2\left(2 \delta_{[c}^{[b} \sigma_{d]}^{a]}+2 B^{h a b} B_{h d c}-\delta_{[c}^{a} \delta_{d]}^{b} \sigma_{0}^{2}\right)-\frac{4}{2 n-1}\left(r_{[c}^{[a} \delta_{d]}^{b]}\right)$;
(5) $T_{\hat{a} 0 c d}=2\left(\sigma_{0[c} \delta_{d]}^{a}+B^{a b} B_{b c d}-2 \sigma^{[a} \delta_{[c}^{h]} B_{d] h}\right)-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left(r_{0 d} \delta_{c}^{a}-r_{0 c} \delta_{d}^{a}\right)$;
(6) $T_{\hat{a} b \hat{c} 0}=A_{b}^{a c 0}+\sigma_{b} B^{a c}-\delta_{b}^{c} \sigma_{0} \sigma^{a}+\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left(r_{0}^{a} \delta_{b}^{c}\right)$;
(7) $T_{a b c 0}=2 B_{c a b 0}+2 B_{c a b} \sigma_{0}$;
(8) $T_{\hat{a} 0 b 0}=-\delta_{b}^{a} \sigma_{00}-\delta_{b}^{a} \sigma_{0}^{2}-B_{c b} B^{a c}-\sigma_{b}^{a}-\sigma^{a} \sigma_{b}+2 \sigma^{[a} \delta_{b}^{c]} \sigma_{c}$

$$
-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left(r_{00} \delta_{b}^{a}+r_{b}^{a}\right) ;
$$

(9) $T_{\hat{a} 0 \hat{b} 0}=2 \sigma_{0} B^{a b}-D^{a b 0}-\sigma^{a b}-\sigma^{a} \sigma^{b}+2 B^{b a c} \sigma_{c}-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left(r_{\hat{a} \hat{b}}\right)$.

The remaining components are conjugates to those given above or can be obtained using the symmetric properties for $T$ or are identically equal to zero.

## 3. Geometry of conharmonic curvature tensor of a $\mathcal{L C} \mathcal{A C}$-manifold

This section concerns the study of the flat conharmonic curvature tensor of a $\mathcal{L C} \mathcal{A C}$-manifold. In particular, it deals with the necessary conditions for the locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifold to be an $\eta$-Einstein manifold.

Definition 3.1. A $\mathcal{L C} \mathcal{A C}$-manifold is known to be conharmonically flat if its conharmonic curvature tensor vanishes.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $M$ is a $\mathcal{L C A C}$-manifold of dimension $>3$. Then the necessary and sufficient conditions for the conharmonic tensor to be flat are $A_{b c}^{a d}=B^{a b c}=B^{a b}=\sigma^{a}=0$ and $\sigma_{00}=-\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \sigma_{0}^{2}$.

Proof. Let $M$ be a conharmonically flat $\mathcal{L C} \mathcal{A C}$-manifold. Considering Lemma 2.20(3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{b c}^{a d}+4 \sigma^{[a} \delta_{c}^{h]} \sigma_{[h} \delta_{b]}^{d}-4 B^{d a h} B_{c h b}+B^{a d} B_{b c}  \tag{2}\\
& -\delta_{c}^{a} \delta_{b}^{d} \sigma_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left(r_{d}^{b} \delta_{a}^{c}+r_{a}^{c} \delta_{d}^{b}\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Symmetrising and then antisymmetrising (2) using indices $(c, b)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \sigma^{[a} \delta_{c}^{h]} \sigma_{[h} \delta_{b]}^{d}-4 B^{d a h} B_{c h b}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Symmetrising (3) by using indices ( $d, a$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{d a h} B_{c h b}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By contracting (4) using indices $(a, b)$ and then $(d, c)$, the following is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{B}_{d a h} B_{d h a}=0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{d, h, a}=\left|B_{d h a}\right|^{2}=0 \Leftrightarrow B_{d h a}=0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \sigma^{[a} \delta_{c}^{h]} \sigma_{[h} \delta_{b]}^{d}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contracting (6) with indices $(h, c)$ and $(d, b)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n^{2}-2 n+1\right)\left(\sigma^{a} \sigma_{h}\right)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once again, contracting (7) by using indices $(a, h)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{a} \bar{\sigma}_{a}=0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{a}\left|\sigma_{a}\right|^{2}=0 \Leftrightarrow \sigma_{a}=0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from Lemma 2.20(1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(2 B[c|a b| d]-2 \sigma_{[a} B_{b] c d} B_{a[c} B_{d] b}\right)=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Symmetrising and then antisymmetrising (9) using indices ( $a, b$ ), we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{a c} B_{d b}-B_{a d} B_{c b}=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Antisymmetrising (10) by using indices $(a, d)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{a c} B_{d b}=0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contracting (11) with indices $(a, d)$ and $(c, b)$, we get $B_{a c}^{2}=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{a c}=0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, regarding (1) of Lemma 2.20 and taking into account the relations (5), (8) and (11), we obtain

$$
-\delta_{c d}^{a b} \sigma_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left(r_{c}^{a} \delta_{b}^{d}-r_{d}^{a} \delta_{c}^{b}-r_{c}^{b} \delta_{d}^{a}+r_{d}^{b} \delta_{c}^{a}\right)=0
$$

where $\delta_{c d}^{a b}=\delta_{c}^{a} \delta_{d}^{b}-\delta_{d}^{a} \delta_{c}^{b}$.
By virtue of Lemma 2.15, we have
$-\delta_{c d}^{a b} \sigma_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left[-2 \delta_{c d}^{a b}\left(\sigma_{00}+2 n \sigma_{0}^{2}\right)+\delta_{d}^{b} A_{a h}^{h c}-\delta_{c}^{b} A_{a h}^{h d}-\delta_{d}^{a} A_{b h}^{h c}+\delta_{c}^{a} A_{b h}^{h d}\right]=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left[2 \delta_{c d}^{a b}\left(\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \sigma_{0}^{2}+\sigma_{00}\right)-\delta_{d}^{b} A_{a h}^{h c}+\delta_{c}^{b} A_{a h}^{h d}+\delta_{d}^{a} A_{b h}^{h c}-\delta_{c}^{a} A_{b h}^{h d}\right]=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once again, using the relations (5), (8) and (11), then equation (2) reduces to

$$
A_{b c}^{a d}-\delta_{c}^{a} \delta_{b}^{d} \sigma_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left(r_{d}^{b} \delta_{a}^{c}+r_{a}^{c} \delta_{d}^{b}\right)=0
$$

According to Lemma 2.15, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{b c}^{a d}-\delta_{c}^{a} \delta_{b}^{d} \sigma_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left[-2 \delta_{c}^{a} \delta_{b}^{d}\left(\sigma_{00}+2 n \sigma_{0}^{2}\right)+\delta_{c}^{a} A_{d h}^{h b}+\delta_{b}^{d} A_{a h}^{h c}\right]=0 \\
\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left[2 \delta_{c}^{a} \delta_{b}^{d}\left(\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \sigma_{0}^{2}+\sigma_{00}\right)+(2 n-1) A_{b c}^{a d}-\delta_{c}^{a} A_{d h}^{h b}-\delta_{b}^{d} A_{a h}^{h c}\right]=0 \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, from Lemma 2.20(8), we have

$$
-\delta_{b}^{a} \sigma_{00}-\delta_{b}^{a} \sigma_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left(r_{00} \delta_{b}^{a}+r_{b}^{a}\right)=0
$$

By substitution the component of the Ricci tensor, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
-\delta_{b}^{a} \sigma_{00}-\delta_{b}^{a} \sigma_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left[-2 \delta_{b}^{a}\left(2 n \sigma_{0}^{2}+\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \sigma_{00}\right)+A_{a h}^{h b}\right] & =0 \\
\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left[2 \delta_{b}^{a}\left(\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \sigma_{0}^{2}+\sigma_{00}\right)-A_{a h}^{h b}\right] & =0 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the equations (13), (14) and (15), it follows that $A_{b c}^{a d}=0$ and $\sigma_{00}=-(n+$ $\left.\frac{1}{2}\right) \sigma_{0}^{2}$. Conversely, from Lemma 2.13, and according to the linear independence of the basic forms, we can get the requirement directly.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1, we can directly obtain the next result.
Corollary 3.3. Suppose $M$ is a conharmonically flat $\mathcal{L C A C}$-manifold. Then $M$ is a conharmonically flat normal $\mathcal{L C} \mathcal{A C}$-manifold.

The next theorem gives the necessary condition for a $\mathcal{L C} \mathcal{C}$-manifold to be an $\eta$-Einstein manifold.

Theorem 3.4. Let $M$ be a $\mathcal{L C A C}$-manifold of dimension $>3$ and conharmonically flat. Then $M$ is an $\eta$-Einstein manifold of type $(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha=$ $-\frac{2 n-1}{2} \sigma_{0}^{2}$ and $\beta=\frac{(n+2)(2 n-1)}{2 n}$.

Proof. Suppose $M$ is a conharmonically flat $\mathcal{L C} \mathcal{A C}$-manifold.
According to Definition 3.1 and Lemma 2.7(3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{b c}^{a d}+4 \sigma^{[a} \delta_{c}^{h]} \sigma_{[h} \delta_{b]}^{d}-4 B^{d a h} B_{c h b}+B^{a d} B_{b c} \\
& -\delta_{c}^{a} \delta_{b}^{d} \sigma_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left(r_{d}^{b} \delta_{a}^{c}+r_{a}^{c} \delta_{d}^{b}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\delta_{c}^{a} \delta_{b}^{d} \sigma_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left(r_{b}^{d} \delta_{c}^{a}+r_{c}^{a} \delta_{b}^{d}\right)=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contracting (16) with indices ( $a, b$ ), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
-\delta_{d}^{c} \sigma_{0}^{2} & =\frac{2 r_{d}^{c}}{2 n-1},  \tag{17}\\
r_{d}^{c} & =\alpha \delta_{d}^{c} . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Lemma 2.20(8), we immediately get

$$
-\delta_{b}^{a} \sigma_{00}-\delta_{b}^{a} \sigma_{0}^{2}-B_{c b} B^{a c}-\sigma_{b}^{a}-\sigma^{a} \sigma_{b}+2 \sigma^{[a} \delta_{b}^{c]} \sigma_{c}-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left(r_{00} \delta_{b}^{a}+r_{b}^{a}\right)=0
$$

According to Theorem 3.1 and the equation (3.18), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \delta_{b}^{a} \sigma_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 n-1}\left(r_{00} \delta_{b}^{a}-\frac{2 n-1}{2} \sigma_{0}^{2} \delta_{b}^{a}\right)=0 . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
r_{00}=\frac{2 n-1}{n} \sigma_{0}^{2},
$$

where $\beta=\frac{(n+2)(2 n-1)}{2 n} \sigma_{0}^{2}$.
Therefore, $M$ is an $\eta$-Einstein manifold.
Theorem 3.5. If $M$ is a $\mathcal{L C} \mathcal{A C}$-manifold of $\operatorname{dim} M<5$ with $\Phi$-invariance property and conharmonically flat, then $M$ is an $\eta$-Einstein manifold of type $(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha=\sigma_{0}^{2}+\sigma_{00}+\sigma_{1}^{1}+\sigma^{1} \sigma_{1}$ and $\beta=-3 \sigma_{0}^{2}-3 \sigma_{00}-3\left(\sigma_{1}^{1}+\sigma^{1} \sigma_{1}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose $M$ is a conharmonically flat $\mathcal{L C} \mathcal{A C}$-manifold.
According to Definition 3.1 and Lemma 2.20(3), we have

$$
A_{11}^{11}-4 B^{111} B_{111}+B^{11} B_{11}-\sigma_{0}^{2}-2 r_{1}^{1}=0
$$

Making use of Theorem 3.1, we get

$$
A_{11}^{11}-\sigma_{0}^{2}-2 r_{1}^{1}=0
$$

By the virtue of Lemma 2.15, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{11}^{11}=3 \sigma_{0}^{2}+2 \sigma_{00}+2\left(\sigma_{1}^{1}+\sigma^{1} \sigma_{1}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using relation (20), we have $r_{1}^{1}=\alpha \delta_{1}^{1}$, where $\alpha=\sigma_{0}^{2}+\sigma_{00}+\sigma_{1}^{1}+\sigma^{1} \sigma_{1}$. Moreover, $r_{00}=\alpha+\beta$, where $\beta=-3 \sigma_{0}^{2}-3 \sigma_{00}-3\left(\sigma_{1}^{1}+\sigma^{1} \sigma_{1}\right)$. Using the $\Phi-$ invariance property, we obtain $M$ as an $\eta$-Einstein manifold of type $(\alpha, \beta)$.
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