# Constant curvature of a locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifold

Cite as: AIP Conference Proceedings **2086**, 030003 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5095088 Published Online: 02 April 2019

Habeeb M. Abood, and Farah Hassan Al-Hussaini



## **AP** Conference Proceedings



AIP Conference Proceedings 2086, 030003 (2019); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5095088

Get 30% off all

print proceedings!

**2086**, 030003

Enter Promotion Code PDF30 at checkout

### **Constant Curvature of A Locally Conformal Almost Cosymplectic Manifold**

Habeeb M. Abood<sup>1,a),b)</sup> and Farah Hassan Al-Hussaini<sup>2,c)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraa, <sup>2</sup>University of Basrah. Basrah. Iraa.

a) iraqsafwan 2006@gmail.com <sup>b)</sup>URL: http://www.aip.org <sup>c)</sup>farahalhussaini14@yahoo.com

Abstract. The purpose of the present paper is to discuss the geometrical properties of a locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifold of constant curvature. In particular, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the aforementioned manifold to be of constant curvature have been determined.

**Keywords**: Locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifold; constant curvature. **PACS**: 02.40.Ky

#### INTRODUCTION

The concept of a constant curvature is one of the important concepts in contact geometry. Blair [2] established that a cosymplectic manifold of constant curvature is locally flat. Moreover, Goldberg and Yano [5] obtained that an almost cosymplectic manifold of constant curvature is cosymplectic if and only if it is locally flat. Seo [11] exclusively, determined a classification of the translation hypersurfaces with a constant mean curvature in an Euclidean space.

#### **PRELIMINARIES**

This section provides a summary of the basic concepts and facts which are related to the discussion of our results.

**Definition 0.1** [2] Let M be a 2n + 1 dimensional smooth manifold,  $\eta$  be a differential 1-form called the contact form,  $\xi$  be a vector field called the characteristic vector field,  $\Phi$  be an endomorphism of the module of the vector fields X(M) called a structure endomorphism, then the triple  $(\eta, \xi, \Phi)$  is called an almost contact structure if the following conditions hold

(1)  $\eta(\xi) = 1$ ; (2)  $\Phi(\xi) = 0$ ; (3)  $\eta \circ \Phi = 0$ ; (4)  $\Phi^2 = -id + \eta \otimes \xi$ .

Moreover, if there is a Riemannian metric  $g = \langle ., . \rangle$  on M such that  $\langle \Phi X, \Phi Y \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle - \eta(X)\eta(Y), X, Y \in X(M)$ , then the set of the tensors  $(\eta, \xi, \Phi, g)$  is called an almost contact metric structure. In this case the manifold M equipped with this structure is called an almost contact metric manifold.

[9] At each point  $p \in M^{2n+1}$ , there is a frame in  $T_p^c(M)$  of the form  $(p, \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{\hat{1}}, ..., \varepsilon_{\hat{n}})$ , where **Definition 0.2**  $\varepsilon_a = \sqrt{2\pi}(e_a), \ \varepsilon_{\hat{a}} = \sqrt{2\pi}(e_a), \ \hat{a} = a + n, \ \varepsilon_0 = \xi_p.$  The frame  $(p, \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{\hat{1}}, ..., \varepsilon_{\hat{n}})$  is called an A-frame. The set of such frames defines a G-structure on M with the structure group  $1 \times U(n)$ . This G-structure is called an adjoined G-structure space.

**Lemma 0.1** [9] The matrices components of the tensors  $\Phi_p$  and  $g_p$  in an A-frame have the following forms, respectively:  $(\Phi_j^i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{-1}I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{-1}I_n \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $(g_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I_n \\ 0 & I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix of order n.

International Conference of Mathematical Sciences (ICMS 2018) AIP Conf. Proc. 2086, 030003-1-030003-4; https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5095088 Published by AIP Publishing. 978-0-7354-1816-5/\$30.00

**Definition 0.3** [5] An almost contact metric structure  $S = (\eta, \xi, \Phi, g)$  is called an almost cosymplectic structure  $(\mathcal{AC}_{f}$ -structure) if the following conditions hold:

- 1.  $d\eta = 0$ ;
- 2.  $d\Omega = 0.$

[10] A conformal transformation of an  $\mathcal{AC}$ -structure  $S = (\eta, \xi, \Phi, g)$  on a manifold is a transfor-**Definition 0.4** mation from S to an AC-structure  $\widetilde{S} = (\widetilde{\eta}, \widetilde{\xi}, \widetilde{\Phi}, \widetilde{g})$  such that  $\widetilde{\eta} = e^{-\sigma}\eta$ ,  $\widetilde{\xi} = e^{\sigma}\xi$ ,  $\widetilde{\Phi} = \Phi$ ,  $\widetilde{g} = e^{-2\sigma}g$ , where  $\sigma$  is a determining function of the conformal transformation.

**Definition 0.5** [10] An AC-structure S on a manifold M is said to be a locally conformal almost cosymplectic ( $\mathcal{LCAC}_{f}$ -structure) if the restriction of this structure to some neighborhood U of an arbitrary point  $p \in M$  admits a conformal transformation of an almost cosymplectic structure. This transformation is called a locally conformal. A manifold M equipped with an LCAC<sub>1</sub>-structure is called a locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifold (LCAC<sub>1</sub>manifold).

Lemma 0.2 [6] In the adjoined G-structure space, the Cartan structural equations of  $LCAC_{1}$ -manifold have the following forms:

- $\begin{array}{ll} 1. & d\omega^{a} = -\omega_{b}^{a} \wedge \omega^{b} + B_{c}^{ab}\omega^{c} \wedge \omega_{b} + B^{abc}\omega_{b} \wedge \omega_{c} + B_{b}^{a}\omega \wedge \omega^{b} + B^{ab}\omega \wedge \omega_{b}; \\ 2. & d\omega_{a} = \omega_{a}^{b} \wedge \omega_{b} + B_{ab}^{c}\omega_{c} \wedge \omega^{b} + B_{abc}\omega^{b} \wedge \omega^{c} + B_{a}^{b}\omega \wedge \omega_{b} + B_{ab}\omega \wedge \omega^{b}; \\ 3. & d\omega = C_{b}\omega \wedge \omega^{b} + C^{b}\omega \wedge \omega_{b}; \\ 4. & d\omega_{b}^{a} = -\omega_{c}^{a} \wedge \omega_{b}^{c} + A_{b}^{acd}\omega_{c} \wedge \omega_{d} + A_{bcd}^{a}\omega^{c} \wedge \omega^{d} + A_{bd}^{ac}\omega^{d} \wedge \omega_{c} + A_{bc0}^{a}\omega \wedge \omega^{c} + A_{b}^{ac0}\omega \wedge \omega_{c}. \end{array}$

Here,  $B^{abc}$ ,  $B_{abc}$ ;  $B^{ab}$ ,  $B_{ab}$ ;  $B^{a}_{b}$ ,  $B^{b}_{a}$ ;  $C^{ab}$ ,  $C_{ab}$ ;  $C^{b}$ ,  $C_{b}$ ;  $A^{acd}_{b}$ ,  $A^{b}_{acd}$ ;  $A^{ac0}_{bd}$ ,  $A^{b}_{ac0}$ ;  $B^{abci}$ ,  $B_{abci}$ ;  $D^{abi}$ ,  $D_{abi}$  and  $\sigma_{ij}$  are smooth functions in the adjoined G-structure space.  $B^{abc}$  are the components of the second structure tensor.

Lemma 0.3 [7] In the adjoined G-structure space, the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor of  $LCAC_{1}$ -manifold have the following forms:

- 1.  $R^{a}_{bcd} = 2(A^{a}_{bcd} + 4\sigma^{[a}\delta^{h]}_{[c}B_{d]hb} \sigma_{0}B_{b[d}\delta^{a}_{c]});$
- 2.  $R_{\hat{b}cd}^{a} = 2(2\delta_{[c}^{[b}\sigma_{d]}^{a]} + 2B^{hab}B_{hdc} \delta_{[c}^{a}\delta_{d]}^{b}\sigma_{0}^{2});$ 3.  $R_{\hat{b}cd}^{a} = A_{bc}^{ad} + 4\sigma^{[a}\delta_{c}^{h]}\sigma_{[h}\delta_{b]}^{d} 4B^{dah}B_{chb} + B^{ad}B_{bc} \delta_{c}^{a}\delta_{b}^{d}\sigma_{0}^{2};$
- 4.  $R^{\hat{a}}_{bcd} = 2(2B_{[c|ab|d]} 2\sigma_{[a}B_{b]cd} + B_{a[c}B_{d]b});$
- 5.  $R_{0cd}^{a} = 2(\sigma_{0[c}\delta_{d]}^{a} + B^{ab}B_{bcd} 2\sigma^{[a}\delta_{[c}^{h]}B_{d]h});$ 6.  $R_{bc0}^{a} = A_{b}^{ac0} + \sigma_{b}B^{ac} \delta_{b}^{c}\sigma_{0}\sigma^{a};$
- 7.  $R_{bc0}^{\hat{a}} = 2B_{cab0} + 2B_{cab}\sigma_0;$
- 8.  $\begin{aligned} R^a_{0b0} &= -\delta^a_b \sigma_{00} \delta^a_b \sigma^2_0 B_{cb} B^{ac} \sigma^a_b \sigma^a \sigma_b + 2\sigma^{[a} \delta^{c]}_b \sigma_c; \\ 9. \quad R^a_{0b0} &= 2\sigma_0 B^{ab} D^{ab0} \sigma^{ab} \sigma^a \sigma^b + 2B^{bac} \sigma_c. \end{aligned}$

and the other components are conjugate to the above components or can be obtained by the properties of symmetry for R or are equal to zero.

**Definition 0.6** [7] An almost contact manifold is called a Kenmotsu manifold if the equality

$$\nabla_X(\Phi)Y = \langle X, Y \rangle \xi - \eta(Y)X;$$

holds for each  $X, Y \in X(M)$ 

[4] The Ricci tensor is a tensor of type (2,0) which is defined by  $r_{ij} = -R_{ijk}^k$ Definition 0.7

Lemma 0.4 [1] In the adjoined G-structure space, the components of the Ricci tensor of  $\mathcal{LCAC}_{\uparrow}$ -manifold are given as follows:

$$I. \quad r_{ab} = 2(-2A^{c}_{(ab)c} - 4(\sigma^{[c}\delta^{h]}_{[b}B_{c]ha} + \sigma^{[c}\delta^{h]}_{[a}B_{c]hb}) + \sigma_{0}B_{a[c}\delta^{c}_{b]} + \sigma_{0}B_{b[c}\delta^{c}_{a]} + 2\sigma_{0}B_{ab} - D_{ab0} - \sigma_{ab} - \sigma_{a}\sigma_{b} + 2B_{bah}\sigma^{h};$$

2. 
$$r_{ab} = -4(\delta^{[a}_{[b}\sigma^{c]}_{c]} - \sigma_{[c}\delta^{b}_{h]}\sigma^{[h}\delta^{a]}_{c} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{[a}\delta^{h]}_{b}\sigma_{h} + B^{hca}B_{hcb} + B^{bch}B_{cha}) + (B^{cb}B_{ac} - B_{hb}B^{ah}) + A^{cb}_{ac} - \delta^{a}_{b}\sigma_{00} - 2n\sigma^{2}_{0} - \sigma^{a}_{0}\sigma^{a}_{b} - \sigma^{a}\sigma_{b};$$

3. 
$$r_{a0} = -A_{ac0}^c - \sigma^c B_{ac} + n\sigma_0 \sigma_a + 2(\sigma_{0[c} \delta_{a]}^c + B^{cb} B_{bca} - 2\sigma^{c} \delta_{[c}^{a} B_{a]h});$$

4. 
$$r_{oo} = -2n(\sigma_{00} + \sigma_0^2) - 2B_{hc}B^{ch} - 2(\sigma_c^c + \sigma^c\sigma_c) + 4\sigma^{[c}\delta_c^{n]}\sigma_h.$$

and the other components can be obtain by taking the conjugate operator to the above components.

**Definition 0.8** [8] An  $\mathcal{AC}$ -manifold is said to be of constant curvature k, if the Riemannian curvature tensor satisfies the relations  $R_{ijkl} = k(g_{ik}g_{jl} - g_{il}g_{jk})$ .

**Lemma 0.5** [8] In the G-adjoined structure space, the nonzero components of the Riemannian curvature tensor of a manifold M of constant curvature have the forms

$$R_{\hat{a}\hat{b}cd} = k\delta^{ab}_{cd} \quad R_{\hat{a}bc\hat{d}} = k\delta^a_c\delta^b_d \quad R_{\hat{a}0c0} = k\delta^a_c$$

**Definition 0.9** [8] *The manifolds of constant curvature are called the special forms. The manifolds of zero constant curvature are said to be planes.* 

**Definition 0.10** [3] A pseudo-Riemannian manifold M is called an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$  if its Ricci tensor satisfies the equation  $r = \alpha g + \beta \eta \otimes \eta$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are suitable smooth functions. If  $\beta = 0$ , then M is called an Einstein manifold.

**Definition 0.11** The Riemannian curvature tensor of  $\mathcal{LCAC}_{\uparrow}$ -manifold has the first special property, if

$$\eta \circ R(\Phi^2 X, \Phi^2 Y) \Phi^2 Z = \eta \circ (R(\Phi X, \Phi Y) \Phi^2 Z + R(\Phi^2 X, \Phi Y) \Phi Z + R(\Phi X, \Phi^2 Y) \Phi Z);$$

hold for each  $X, Y, Z \in X(M)$ .

**Definition 0.12** The Riemannian curvature tensor of  $\mathcal{LCAC}_{1}$ -manifold has the second special property, if

 $\eta \circ [R(\Phi^2 X,\xi) \Phi^2 Y + \eta \circ (R(\Phi X,\xi) \Phi Y] = 0;$ 

hold for each  $X, Y \in X(M)$ .

#### THE MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 0.1** The necessary and sufficient conditioni for a  $\mathcal{LCAC}_{f}$  to be a manifold of constant curvature k is  $A_{bc}^{ad} = B^{abc} = B^{ab} = \sigma^{a} = \sigma_{00} = 0$ . Moreover,  $k = -\sigma_{0}^{2}$ .

Proof. Comparing the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor in the Lemmas 0.3 and 0.5, we have

$$2(2\delta^{[b}_{[c}\sigma^{a]}_{d]} + 2B^{hab}B_{hdc} - \delta^{a}_{[c}\delta^{b}_{d]}\sigma^{2}_{0}) = k\delta^{ab}_{cd}$$

 $k = -\sigma_0^2$  .

Consequently, we get

Moreover, we have

$$A_{bc}^{ad} + 4\sigma^{[a}\delta_{c}^{h]}\sigma_{[h}\delta_{b]}^{d} - 4B^{dah}B_{chb} + B^{ad}B_{bc} - \delta_{c}^{a}\delta_{b}^{d}\sigma_{0}^{2} = k\delta_{c}^{a}\delta_{b}^{d}$$

Making use of the equality  $R_{bcd}^{\hat{a}} = 0$ , consequently we obtain

$$A_{hc}^{ad} = 0$$

Now, according to the Lemma 0.3, item 3, it follows that  $B^{abc} = 0$ . According to the relation  $R^a_{0c0} = R_{\hat{a}0c0}$ , we get

$$-\delta^a_c\sigma_{00} - \delta^a_c\sigma^2_0 - B_{hb}B^{ah} - \sigma^a_c - \sigma^a\sigma_c + 2\sigma^{[a}\delta^{h]}_c\sigma_h = k\delta^a_c$$

Now, making use of  $R_{0\hat{b}0}^a = 0$ , we get  $\sigma^a \sigma^b = 0$  and according to the Lemma 0.2, items 9 and 10, we have  $\sigma_a^a = 0$ , which means

$$\sigma_{00} = 0$$

Conversely, we can get the requirement directly from the lemmas 0.2 and 2.3.

Theorem 0.2 The LCAC<sub>1</sub>-manifold M which is a special form has nonpositive curvature. Moreover, (1) *M* is a conformally flat Kenmotsu manifold if and only if k = -1; (2) If k = 0, then M is a locally flat cosymplectic manifold.

Suppose that a  $\mathcal{LCAC}_{\int}$ -manifold M is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , then  $\alpha = \frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - \sigma_{00} - \sigma_{00}$ Theorem 0.3  $2n\sigma_0^2 - \frac{1}{n}(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \quad and \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. In addition, if } M \text{ is a manifold of } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. In addition, if } M \text{ is a manifold of } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. In addition, if } M \text{ is a manifold of } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. In addition, if } M \text{ is a manifold of } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. In addition, if } M \text{ is a manifold of } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. In addition, if } M \text{ hold. In addition, } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. In addition, } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. } M \text{ hold. } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. } M \text{ hold. } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. } M \text{ hold. } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. } M \text{ hold. } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. } M \text{ hold. } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. } M \text{ hold. } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. } M \text{ hold. } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. } M \text{ hold. } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a \sigma_a) \text{ hold. } \beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} +$ constant curvature, then M is an Einstein manifold with a cosmological constant  $\alpha = -2n\sigma_0^2$ .

Proof. Comparing the components of the Ricci tensor in the Lemma 0.3 and 0.5, we have

$$-4(\delta^{[a}_{[b}\sigma^{c]}_{c]} - \sigma_{[c}\delta^{b}_{h]}\sigma^{[h}\delta^{a]}_{c} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{[a}\delta^{h]}_{b}\sigma_{h} + B^{hca}B_{hcb} + B^{bch}B_{cha}) +(B^{cb}B_{ac} - B_{hb}B^{ah}) + A^{cb}_{ac} - \delta^{a}_{b}\sigma_{00} - 2n\sigma^{2}_{0} - \sigma^{a}_{b} - \sigma^{a}\sigma_{b} = \alpha\delta^{a}_{b} \quad .$$
(0.1)

Symmetrizing and antisymmetrizing (0.1) by the indices (a, h) and then symmetrizing by the indices (b, c), we get

$$A_{ac}^{(cb)} + B^{cb}B_{ac} - \delta_b^a \sigma_{00} - 2n\sigma_0^2 - \sigma_b^a - \sigma^a \sigma_b = \alpha \delta_b^a \quad . \tag{0.2}$$

Contracting (0.2) by the indices (a, b), we obtain

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} + \frac{1}{n}B^{ca}B_{ac} - \sigma_{00} - 2n\sigma_0^2 - \frac{1}{n}(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a\sigma_a)$$

Moreovere, we have

$$-2n(\sigma_{00} + \sigma_0^2) - 2B_{hc}B^{ch} - 2(\sigma_c^c + \sigma^c \sigma_c) + 4\sigma^{[c}\delta_c^{h]}\sigma_h = \alpha + \beta \quad .$$
(0.3)

Symmetrizing and antisymmetrizing (0.3) by the indices (c, h), we conclude

$$\beta = -\frac{1}{n}A_{ac}^{(ca)} - \frac{1}{n}B^{ca}B_{ac} - (2n-1)\sigma_{00} + (\frac{1}{n}-2)(\sigma_a^a + \sigma^a\sigma_a)$$

Now, if M is of constant curvature, then by the Theorem 0.1, directly we get that M is an Einstein manifold with a cosmological constant  $\alpha$ .

Theorem 0.4 The Riemannian curvature tensor of  $LCAC_1$ -manifold M has the first special property, if M has the constant curvature k.

Theorem 0.5 The Riemannian curvature tensor of  $\mathcal{LCAC}_{f}$ -manifold M has the second special property, if M has the constant curvature k = 0.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Abood H. M., Al-Hussaini F. H., Locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifold of Φ-holomorphic sectional conharmonic curvature tensor, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 11(3), 671-681, 2018.
- Blair D.E., The theory of quasi-Sasakian structures, J. Differential Geometry, N. 1, 331-345, 1967.
- [3] Blair D.E., Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds, in Progr. Math. Birkhauser, Boston, MA, Vol. 203, 2002.
- [4] Cartan E., Riemannian Geometry in an Orthogonal Frame, From lectures Delivered by E. Lie Cartan at the Sorbonne 1926-27, Izdat. Moskov. Univ., Moscow, 1960; World Sci., Singapore, 2001.
- [5] Goldberg S.I., Yano K., Integrabilty of almost cosymplectic structures, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 31, 373-382, 1969.
- Kharitonova S.V., On the geometry of locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds, Mathematical Notes, [6] 86(1), 126-138, 2009.
- Kenmotsu K., A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, Tôhoku Math. J., 24(1972), 93-103, 1972. [7] [8]
- Kirichenko V.F., Kharitonova S.V., On the geometry of normal locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds, Mathematical Notes, 91(1), 40-53, 2012.
- [9] Kirichenko V.F., Rustanov A. R., Differential geometry of quasi Sasakian manifolds, Sbornik: Mathematics, 193(8), 71-100, 2002.
- Olszak Z., Locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds, Collq. Math. 57(1), 73-87, 1989. [10]
- [11] Seo K., Translation hypersurfaces with constant curvature in space forms, Osaka J. Math. 50, 631-641, 2013.