

Research Article

On Fekete-Szegő Problems for Certain Subclasses Defined by q -Derivative

Huda Aldweby¹ and Maslina Darus²

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, AL Asmarya Islamic University, Zliten, Libya

²School of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia

Correspondence should be addressed to Maslina Darus; maslina@ukm.edu.my

Received 15 April 2017; Accepted 2 August 2017; Published 7 September 2017

Academic Editor: Henryk Hudzik

Copyright © 2017 Huda Aldweby and Maslina Darus. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We derive the Fekete-Szegő theorem for new subclasses of analytic functions which are q -analogue of well-known classes introduced before.

1. Introduction

Denote by \mathcal{A} the class of all analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1)$$

in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

For two analytic functions f and g in \mathbb{U} , the subordination between them is written as $f < g$. Frankly, the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ if there is a Schwarz function w with $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$, for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Note that, if g is univalent, then $f < g$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{U})$.

In [1, 2], Jackson defined the q -derivative operator D_q of a function as follows:

$$D_q f(z) = \frac{f(qz) - f(z)}{(q-1)z} \quad (z \neq 0, q \neq 0) \quad (2)$$

and $D_q f(z) = f'(0)$. In case $f(z) = z^k$ for k is a positive integer, the q -derivative of $f(z)$ is given by

$$D_q z^k = \frac{z^k - (zq)^k}{z(1-q)} = [k]_q z^{k-1}. \quad (3)$$

As $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$[k]_q = \frac{1-q^k}{1-q} = 1 + q + \dots + q^{k-1} \rightarrow k. \quad (4)$$

Quite a number of great mathematicians studied the concepts of q -derivative, for example, by Gasper and Rahman [3], Aral et al. [4], Li et al. [5], and many others (see [6–15]).

Making use of the q -derivative, we define the subclasses $\mathcal{S}_q^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}_q(\alpha)$ of the class \mathcal{A} for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ by

$$\mathcal{S}_q^*(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z D_q (f(z))}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha, z \in \mathbb{U} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_q(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z q D_q (D_q (f(z)))}{D_q f(z)} \right) > \alpha, z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}. \quad (5)$$

These classes are also studied and introduced by Seoudy and Aouf [16].

Noting that

$$f \in \mathcal{C}_q(\alpha) \iff$$

$$zD_q f \in \mathcal{S}_q^*(\alpha),$$

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{S}_q^*(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zD_q(f(z))}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha, z \in \mathbb{U} \right\} = \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha),$$

(6)

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{C}_q(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zqD_q(D_q(f(z)))}{D_q f(z)} \right) > \alpha, z \in \mathbb{U} \right\} = \mathcal{C}(\alpha),$$

where $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ are, respectively, the classes of starlike of order α and convex of order α in \mathbb{U} ([17, 18]).

Next, we state the q -analogue of Ruscheweyh operator given by Aldweby and Darus [8] that will be used throughout.

Definition 1 (see [8]). Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Denote by \mathcal{R}_q^λ the q -analogue of Ruscheweyh operator defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_q^\lambda f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[k + \lambda - 1]_q!}{[\lambda]_q! [k - 1]_q!} a_k z^k, \quad (7)$$

where $[k]_q!$ given by is as follows:

$$[k]_q! = \begin{cases} [k]_q [k - 1]_q \cdots [1]_q, & k = 1, 2, \dots; \\ 1, & k = 0. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

From the definition we observe that if $q \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{R}_q^\lambda f(z) &= z + \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[k + \lambda - 1]_q!}{[\lambda]_q! [k - 1]_q!} a_k z^k \right] \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k + \lambda - 1)!}{(\lambda)! (k - 1)!} a_k z^k = \mathcal{R}^\lambda f(z), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where \mathcal{R}^λ is Ruscheweyh differential operator defined in [19].

Using the principle of subordination and q -derivative, we define the classes of q -starlike and q -convex analytic functions as follows.

Definition 2. For $\varphi \in P$ and $\lambda > -1$, the class $\mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*(\varphi)$ which consists of all analytic functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\frac{zD_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\lambda(f(z)))}{\mathcal{R}_q^\lambda(f(z))} < \varphi(z), \quad |z| < 1. \quad (10)$$

Definition 3. For $\varphi \in P$ and $\lambda > -1$, the class $\mathcal{C}_{q,\lambda}(\varphi)$ which consists of all analytic functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$1 + \frac{zqD_q(D_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\lambda f(z)))}{D_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\lambda f(z))} < \varphi(z), \quad (11)$$

$$|z| < 1, \quad 0 < q < 1.$$

To prove our results, we need the following.

Lemma 4 (see [18]). If $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots \in P$ of positive real part is in \mathbb{U} and μ is a complex number, then

$$|c_2 - \mu c_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1; |2\mu - 1|\}. \quad (12)$$

The result is sharp given by

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) &= \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \\ p(z) &= \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Lemma 5 (see [18]). If $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ is a function with positive real part, then

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -4\nu + 2, & \text{if } \nu \leq 0; \\ 2, & \text{if } 0 \leq \nu \leq 1; \\ 4\nu - 2, & \text{if } \nu \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

2. Main Results

Now is our theorem using similar methods studied by Seoudy and Aouf in [16].

Theorem 6. Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \dots \in P$. If f given by (1) is in the class $\mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*(\varphi)$ and μ is a complex number, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{B_1}{q([\lambda]_q^2 + q^{2\lambda}(1+q)([\lambda]_q^2 + 1))} \\ &\cdot \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{[\lambda]_q + q^\lambda - \mu([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda(1+q))}{q([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda)} B_1 \right| \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*(\varphi)$, then there is a function $w(z)$ in \mathbb{U} with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ in \mathbb{U} such that

$$\frac{zD_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\lambda(f(z)))}{\mathcal{R}_q^\lambda(f(z))} = \varphi(w(z)). \quad (16)$$

Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (17)$$

Since $w(z)$ is a Schwarz function, immediately $\text{Re}(p(z)) > 0$ and $p(0) = 1$. Let

$$g(z) = \frac{zD_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\lambda(f(z)))}{\mathcal{R}_q^\lambda(f(z))} = 1 + d_1z + d_2z^2 + \dots \quad (18)$$

Then from (16), (17), and (18), obtain

$$g(z) = \varphi\left(\frac{p(z) - 1}{p(z) + 1}\right). \quad (19)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p(z) - 1}{p(z) + 1} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[p_1z + \left(p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(p_3 + \frac{p_1^3}{4} - p_1p_2 \right) z^3 + \dots \right] \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left(\frac{p(z) - 1}{p(z) + 1}\right) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}B_1p_1z \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{2}B_1\left(p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}B_2p_1^2 \right] z^2 \\ &\quad + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

From the last equation and (18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= \frac{1}{2}B_1p_1, \\ d_2 &= \frac{1}{2}B_1\left(p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}B_2p_1^2. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

A simple computation in (18) and knowing that $[n]_q - 1 = q[n - 1]_q$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{zD_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\lambda(f(z)))}{\mathcal{R}_q^\lambda(f(z))} \\ &= 1 + q[\lambda + 1]_q a_2z \\ &\quad + \{q[\lambda + 1]_q[\lambda + 2]_q a_3 - q[\lambda + 1]_q^2 a_2^2\} z^2 \\ &\quad + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Then, from last equation and (18), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= q[\lambda + 1]_q a_2, \\ d_2 &= q[\lambda + 1]_q[\lambda + 2]_q a_3 - q[\lambda + 1]_q^2 a_2^2, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

or equivalently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \frac{B_1p_1}{2q[\lambda + 1]_q}, \\ a_3 &= \frac{B_1}{2q[\lambda + 1]_q[\lambda + 2]_q} \left(p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{B_2p_1^2}{4q[\lambda + 1]_q[\lambda + 2]_q} \\ &\quad + \frac{B_1^2p_1^2}{8q^2[\lambda + 1]_q[\lambda + 2]_q}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Therefore

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{B_1}{2q[\lambda + 1]_q[\lambda + 2]_q} \{p_2 - \nu p_1^2\}, \quad (26)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{[\lambda]_q + q^\lambda - \mu([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda(1 + q))}{q([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda)} B_1 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

By an application of Lemma 4, our result follows. Again by Lemma 4, the equality in (15) is gained for

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) &= \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \\ \text{or } p(z) &= \frac{1 + z^2}{1 - z^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Thus Theorem 6 is complete. \square

Similarly, we can prove for the class $\mathcal{C}_{q,\lambda}(\varphi)$. We omit the proofs.

Theorem 7. Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots \in P$. If f given by (1) is in the class $\mathcal{C}_{q,\lambda}(\varphi)$ and μ is a complex number, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{B_1}{2q[3]_q[\lambda + 1]_q[\lambda + 2]_q} \\ &\quad \cdot \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{[2]_q^2 - \mu[3]_q[\lambda + 2]_q}{q[2]_q^2} B_1 \right| \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The result is sharp.

Taking $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 6, we have the corollary for the class $\mathcal{S}_q^*(\varphi)$ as follows.

Corollary 8. Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots \in P$. If f given by (1) is in the class $\mathcal{S}_q^*(\varphi)$ and μ is a complex number, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{B_1}{q(1 + q)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{1 - \mu(1 + q)}{q} B_1 \right| \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The result is sharp.

Taking $q \rightarrow 1$ and $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 6, we obtain the following.

Corollary 9. Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \dots$, $B_1 \in P$. If f given by (1) is in the class $\mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*(\varphi)$ and μ is a complex number, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{2} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{1 - 2\mu}{1} B_1 \right| \right\}. \quad (31)$$

By using Lemma 4, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 10. Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \dots$ with $B_1 > 0$ and $B_2 \geq 0$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \frac{([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda)(B_1^2 + q(B_2 - B_1))}{([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda [2]_q) B_1^2}, \\ \sigma_2 &= \frac{([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda)(B_1^2 + q(B_2 + B_1))}{([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda [2]_q) B_1^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Let f given by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{S}_{q,\lambda}^*(\varphi)$. Then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_2}{q[\lambda+1]_q[\lambda+2]_q} + \frac{B_1^2}{q[\lambda+1]_q[\lambda+2]_q} \left(\frac{([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda - ([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda [2]_q)\mu}{q([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda)} \right), & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1; \\ \frac{B_1}{q[\lambda+1]_q[\lambda+2]_q}, & \text{if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2; \\ -\frac{B_2}{q[\lambda+1]_q[\lambda+2]_q} - \frac{B_1^2}{q[\lambda+1]_q[\lambda+2]_q} \left(\frac{([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda - ([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda [2]_q)\mu}{q([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda)} \right), & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2. \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

Proof. First, let $\mu \leq \sigma_1$

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{B_1}{2q[\lambda+1]_q[\lambda+2]_q} [-4\nu + 2] \\ &\leq \frac{B_2}{q[\lambda+1]_q[\lambda+2]_q} \\ &\quad + \frac{B_1^2}{q[\lambda+1]_q[\lambda+2]_q} \left(\frac{([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda - ([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda [2]_q)\mu}{q([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Now, let $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$; then using the above calculation, we obtain

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{q[\lambda+1]_q[\lambda+2]_q}. \quad (35)$$

Finally, if $\mu \geq \sigma_2$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{q[\lambda+1]_q[\lambda+2]_q} [4\nu - 2]$$

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{B_2}{q[\lambda+1]_q[\lambda+2]_q} \\ &-\frac{B_1^2}{q[\lambda+1]_q[\lambda+2]_q} \left(\frac{([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda - ([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda [2]_q)\mu}{q([\lambda]_q + q^\lambda)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

□

Similarly, we can prove for the class $\mathcal{C}_{q,\lambda}(\varphi)$ as follows.

Theorem 11. Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \dots$ with $B_1 > 0$ and $B_2 \geq 0$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_1 &= \frac{[2]_q(B_1^2 + q(B_2 - B_1))}{[3]_q B_1^2}, \\ \varrho_2 &= \frac{[2]_q(B_1^2 + q(B_2 + B_1))}{[3]_q B_1^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

If f given by (1) is in the class $\mathcal{C}_{q,\lambda}(\varphi)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_1}{2q[3]_q[\lambda+1]_q[\lambda+2]_q} \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(\frac{[2]_q^2 - [3]_q[\lambda+2]_q\mu}{q[2]_q^2} \right) B_1 \right], & \text{if } \mu \leq \varrho_1; \\ \frac{B_1}{2q[3]_q[\lambda+1]_q[\lambda+2]_q}, & \text{if } \varrho_1 \leq \mu \leq \varrho_2; \\ \frac{B_1}{2q[3]_q[\lambda+1]_q[\lambda+2]_q} \left[-\frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(\frac{[2]_q^2 - [3]_q[\lambda+2]_q\mu}{q[2]_q^2} \right) B_1 \right], & \text{if } \mu \geq \varrho_2. \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

Taking $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 10, we obtain next result for the class $\mathcal{S}_q^*(\varphi)$.

Corollary 12. Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ with $B_1 > 0$ and $B_2 \geq 0$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1 &= \frac{B_1^2 + q(B_2 - B_1)}{[2]_q B_1^2}, \\ \sigma_2 &= \frac{B_1^2 + q(B_2 + B_1)}{[2]_q B_1^2}.\end{aligned}\quad (39)$$

If f given by (1) is in the class $\mathcal{S}_q^*(\varphi)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}& |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_2}{q[2]_q} + \frac{B_1^2}{q[2]_q} \left(\frac{1 - [2]_q \mu}{q} \right), & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1; \\ \frac{B_1}{q[2]_q}, & \text{if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2; \\ -\frac{B_2}{q[2]_q} - \frac{B_1^2}{q[2]_q} \left(\frac{1 - [2]_q \mu}{q} \right), & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2. \end{cases}\end{aligned}\quad (40)$$

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The work here is supported by MOHE Grant FRGS/1/2016/STG06/UKM/01/1.

References

- [1] F. H. Jackson, "On q -definite integrals," *The Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 41, pp. 193–203, 1910.
- [2] F. H. Jackson, "On q -functions and a certain difference operator," *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 253–281, 1909.
- [3] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, vol. 35 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- [4] A. Aral, V. Gupta, and R. P. Agarwal, *Applications of q -calculus in operator theory*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- [5] X. Li, Z. Han, S. Sun, and L. Sun, "Eigenvalue problems of fractional q -difference equations with generalized p -Laplacian," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 57, pp. 46–53, 2016.
- [6] H. Aldweby and M. Darus, "A subclass of harmonic univalent functions associated with q -analogue of Dziok-Srivastava operator," *ISRN Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 2013, Article ID 382312, 6 pages, 2013.
- [7] H. Al Dweby and M. Darus, "On harmonic meromorphic functions associated with basic hypergeometric functions," *The Scientific World Journal*, vol. 2013, Article ID 164287, 7 pages, 2013.
- [8] H. Aldweby and M. Darus, "Some subordination results on q -analogue of Ruscheweyh differential operator," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2014, Article ID 958563, 6 pages, 2014.
- [9] S. D. Purohit and R. K. Raina, "Some classes of analytic and multivalent functions associated with q -derivative operators," *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae Mathematica*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 5–23, 2014.
- [10] K. A. Selvakumaran, S. D. Purohit, A. Secer, and M. Bayram, "Convexity of certain q -integral operators of p -valent functions," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2014, Article ID 925902, 7 pages, 2014.
- [11] T. M. Seoudy and M. K. Aouf, "Convolution properties for certain classes of analytic functions defined by q -derivative operator," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2014, Article ID 846719, 7 pages, 2014.
- [12] B. Wongsajjai and N. Sukantamala, "Applications of fractional q -calculus to certain subclass of analytic p -valent functions with negative coefficients," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2015, Article ID 273236, 12 pages, 2015.
- [13] U. A. Ezeafulukwe and M. Darus, "A note on q -calculus," *Fasciculi Mathematici*, no. 55, pp. 53–63, 2015.
- [14] U. A. Ezeafulukwe and M. Darus, "Certain properties of q -hypergeometric functions," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2015, Article ID 489218, 9 pages, 2015.
- [15] H. Aldweby and M. Darus, "Coefficient estimates of classes of Q -starlike and Q -convex functions," *Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 21–26, 2016.
- [16] T. M. Seoudy and M. K. Aouf, "Coefficient estimates of new classes of q -starlike and q -convex functions of complex order," *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 135–145, 2016.
- [17] M. I. S. Robertson, "On the theory of univalent functions," *Annals of Mathematics. Second Series*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 374–408, 1936.
- [18] W. C. Ma and D. Minda, "A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions," in *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin '92)*, pp. 157–169, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- [19] S. Ruscheweyh, "New criteria for univalent functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 49, pp. 109–115, 1975.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<https://www.hindawi.com>

