



q-analogue of Ruscheweyh operator and its applications to certain subclass of uniformly starlike functions

Huda Aldweby and Maslina Darus

Citation: AIP Conference Proceedings **1602**, 767 (2014); doi: 10.1063/1.4882572 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4882572 View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/proceeding/aipcp/1602?ver=pdfcov Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in

Certain subclass of meromorphically univalent functions defined by q-analogue of Liu-Srivastava operator AIP Conf. Proc. **1571**, 1069 (2013); 10.1063/1.4858795

The Fekete-Szegö problem for starlike functions of order associated with generalised derivative operator AIP Conf. Proc. **1522**, 938 (2013); 10.1063/1.4801231

Convolution properties for certain subclass of analytic functions AIP Conf. Proc. **1522**, 852 (2013); 10.1063/1.4801216

Symplectic Dirac Operator and its Higher Spin Analogues AIP Conf. Proc. **1048**, 674 (2008); 10.1063/1.2991017

Operational Calculus in Two Variables and Its Applications Phys. Today **16**, 72 (1963); 10.1063/1.3050726

q-Analogue of Ruscheweyh Operator and its Applications to Certain Subclass of Uniformly starlike Functions

Huda Aldweby^a and Maslina Darus^a

^aSchool of Mathematics Sciences, Faculty of Sciences and Technolog, University Kebangsaan Malaysia 43600 Bangi, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

Abstract. Motivating by q-analogue theory, we define here q-analogue of Ruscheweyh differential operator. Also, we introduce a new subclass of uniformly starlike functions with negative coefficients and obtain the coefficient bounds, distotion theorem for functions belonging to this class.

Keywords:*q*-analogue of Ruscheweyh differential operator, uniformly starlike function. AMS Subject Classification: 30C45, 33D15, 05A30 PACS: 02.30Fn,02.30.Gp,0230.Px

INTRODUCTION

The theory of q-analogues is an active field of research to day since it has assumed of significant importance for studying q-calculus (q-differentiation and q-integration). This theory of q-analogues or q-extensions of classical formulas and functions based on the observation that

$$\lim_{q \to 1} \frac{1 - q^{\alpha}}{1 - q} = \alpha.$$

Therefore, the number $\frac{(1-q^{\alpha})}{(1-q)}$ is sometimes called the basic number $[\alpha]_q$.

The theory of q- analogues find applications in a number of areas including combinatorial theory, q-deformed superalgebras, hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials, dynamical systems and physics. This paper is an attempt to give a connection of q-analogues theory and geometric function theory by obtaining the q-analogue of Ruscheweyh differential operator using basic hypergeometric function and defining a new

class of uniformly starlike functions.

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$
, (1)

which are analytic and univalent in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Also, denote by *T* the subclass of *A* consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$
, $(a_k \ge 0)$ (1)

A function f is uniformly convex (uniformly starlike) in U. if f is in $CV(S^*)$ and has the property that for every circular arc γ contained in U, with center ξ also in U, the arc $f(\gamma)$ is convex(starlike) with respect to $f(\xi)$. The class of uniformly convex functions is denoted by UCV and the class of uniformly starlike functions by US^* . Analytically,

$$f(z) \in UCV \Leftrightarrow Re\left\{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > \left|\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right|, z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

In [1,2], Ronning introduced a new class of starlike functions related to UCV defined as

$$f(z) \in S_p \Leftrightarrow Re\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right|, z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Mathematical Sciences AIP Conf. Proc. 1602, 767-771 (2014); doi: 10.1063/1.4882572 © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC 978-0-7354-1236-1/\$30.00 Note that, $f \in UCV \Leftrightarrow zf' \in S_p$. These classes have been extensively studied by Goodman [3,4], Kanas and srivastava[5], Ma and Minda [6]. Moreover, Shams, Kulkarni and Jahangiri [7] introduced the subclass $US_p^*(\alpha, \beta)$ of uniformly β -starlike functions of order α , consisting of functions which satisfy

$$Re\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha\right\} > \beta \left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right|, z \in \mathbb{U},$$

for some $0 \le \alpha < 1, \beta \ge 0$. Later, the class was studied by Nishiwaki and Owa[8].

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, where f is defined by (2) and g is given by

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$$

Then, the Hadamard product (or convolution) f * g of the functions f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

One of the most important summation formulas for hypergeometric series is given by the binomial Series is

$$_{2}F_{1}(a,c,c;z) = _{1}F_{0}(a,-;z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k}}{k!} z^{k} = (1-z)^{-a}, \ a \in \mathbb{R},$$

where |z| < 1. The *q*-analogue of this formula is defined by

$$_{1}\phi_{0}(a,-;q;z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a;q)_{k}}{(q;q)} z^{k}, \quad |z| < 1, |q| < 1, (2)$$

which was derived by Cauchy (1843), Heine (1847) and by other mathematicians. $(a,q)_k$ is the *q*-analogue of the Pochhammer symbol $(a)_k$ defined by

$$(a,q)_k = \begin{cases} 1, & k = 0; \\ (1-a)(1-aq)(1-aq^2)\dots(1-aq^{k-1}), & k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that

$$\lim_{q \to 1} \frac{(q^a; q)_k}{(1-q)^k} = (a)_k.$$

By using the ratio test, one recognize that, if |q| < 1, the q series (3) (also called basic hypergeometric series) converges absolutely for |z| < 1. For more details concerning the q-theory may refer to [9,10].

Replacing *a* by $(\lambda + 1, \lambda > -1)$ in (3), we now define the *q*-analogue of Ruscheweyh differential operator $\mathcal{D}_{q}^{\lambda} f: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{q}^{\lambda}f(z) &=_{1}\phi_{0}(a,-;q;z)*f(z) \\ &=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda+1;q)_{k-1}}{(q;q)_{k-1}}a_{k}z^{k}, \ \lambda>-1, |z|<1, |q|<1. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that if $\lambda + 1 = q^{\mu+1}$, $\mu > -1$, we have

$$\lim_{q \to 1} \mathcal{D}_q^{\lambda} f(z) = z + \lim_{q \to 1} \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{\mu+1}; q)_{k-1}}{(q; q)_{k-1}} a_k z^k \right] = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu+1)_{k-1}}{k!} a_k z^k = \mathcal{D}^{\mu} f(z),$$

This article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is 368 ect to the terms at: http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to IP: 175.140.89.154 On: Wed, 25 Jun 2014 02:12:08

where $\mathcal{D}^{\mu} f(z)$ is Ruscheweyh differential operator[11].

For $-1 < \alpha \le 1$, $\beta \ge 0$, we let $S_p^*(q, \alpha, \beta)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions of the form (1) and satisfying the analytic criterion

$$Re\left\{\frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}_{q}^{\lambda}f(z)\right)'}{\mathcal{D}_{q}^{\lambda}f(z)}-\alpha\right\} > \beta\left|\frac{z\left(\mathcal{D}_{q}^{\lambda}f(z)\right)'}{\mathcal{D}_{q}^{\lambda}f(z)}-1\right|, z \in \mathbb{U}, (4)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_q^{\lambda} f(z)$ is given by (4). We also let $TS_p^*(q, \alpha, \beta) = S_p^*(q, \alpha, \beta) \cap T$.

BASIC PROPERTIES

In this section we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for functions f in the classes $S_p^*(q, \alpha, \beta)$ and $TS_p^*(q, \alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 1. A function f(z) of the form (1) is in $S_p^*(q, \alpha, \beta)$ if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta) \right] \frac{(\lambda+1;q)_{k-1}}{(q;q)_{k-1}} |a_k| \le 1 - \alpha, (5)$$

where $-1 < \alpha \le 1$, $\beta \ge 0$ and $0 \le \gamma \le 1$

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\beta \left| \frac{z(\mathcal{D}_q^{\lambda} f(z))'}{(\mathcal{D}_q^{\lambda} f(z))} - 1 \right| - Re\left\{ \frac{z(\mathcal{D}_q^{\lambda} f(z))'}{\mathcal{D}_q^{\lambda} f(z)} - 1 \right\} \le 1 - \alpha.$$

We have

$$\beta \left| \frac{z(\mathcal{D}_q^{\lambda} f(z))'}{\mathcal{D}_q^{\lambda} f(z)} - 1 \right| - Re\left\{ \frac{z(\mathcal{D}_q^{\lambda} f(z))'}{\mathcal{D}_q^{\lambda} f(z)} - 1 \right\} \le (1+\beta) \left| \frac{z(\mathcal{D}_q^{\lambda} f(z))'}{\mathcal{D}_q^{\lambda} f(z)} - 1 \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{(1+\beta)\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)\frac{(\lambda+1;q)_{k-1}}{(q;q)_{k-1}}|a_k|}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+1;q)_{k-1}}{(q;q)_{k-1}}|a_k|}$$

This last expression is bounded above by $(1 - \alpha)$ if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)] \frac{(\lambda+1;q)_{k-1}}{(q;q)_{k-1}} |a_k| \le 1 - \alpha,$$

hence the proof is complete.

Theorem 2. A necessary and sufficient condition for f(z) of the form (2) to be in the class $TS_p^*(q, \alpha, \beta)$ is that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta) \right] \frac{(\lambda+1;q)_{k-1}}{(q;q)_{k-1}} |a_k| \le 1 - \alpha,$$

where $-1 < \alpha \leq 1, \beta \geq 0$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 1, we need only to prove the necessary condition. If $f \in TS_p^*(q, \alpha, \beta)$ and z is real then

$$\frac{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \frac{(\lambda+1;q)_{k-1}}{(q;q)_{k-1}} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+1;q)_{k-1}}{(q;q)_{k-1}} a_k z^{k-1}} - \alpha$$

$$\geq \beta \left| \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1) \frac{(\lambda+1;q)_{k-1}}{(q;q)_{k-1}} |a_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+1;q)_{k-1}}{(q;q)_{k-1}} |a_k|} \right|$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1$ along the real axis, we obtain the desired inequality

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[k(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta) \right] \frac{(\lambda+1;q)_{k-1}}{(q;q)_{k-1}} |a_k| \le 1 - \alpha.$$

The next theorem shows that the class $TS_p^*(q, \alpha, \beta)$ is closed under linear convex combination.

Theorem 3. Let $f_i(z)$, i = 1,2 defined by (2) in the class $TS_p^*(q, \alpha, \beta)$. Then, the function F(z) is defined by

$$F(z) = (1 - \eta)f_1(z) + \eta f_2(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k z^k,$$

where $(c_k = (1 - \eta)a_{k,1} + \eta a_{k,2}), 0 \le \eta < 1$ is also in the class $TS_p^*(q, \alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. Since

$$f_i(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,i} z^k, \ a_{k,i} \ge 0, i = 1, 2,$$

in $TS_p^*(q, \alpha, \beta)$, so that

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\eta a_{k,1} + (1-\eta)a_{k,2}]z^k, \quad 0 \le \eta \le 1.$$

An easy computation with the aid of Theorem 2 gives

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)] \frac{(\lambda+1;q)_{k-1}}{(q;q)_{k-1}} \eta a_{k,1}$$
$$+ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)] \frac{(\lambda+1;q)_{k-1}}{(q;q)_{k-1}} (1-\eta) a_{k,2}$$
$$\leq \eta (1-\alpha) + (1-\eta) (1-\alpha) \leq 1-\alpha,$$

which implies that $F \in TS_p^*(q, \alpha, \beta)$. Hence $TS_p^*(q, \alpha, \beta)$ is closed under linear convex combination.

Theorem 4. Let the hypotheses of Theorem 2be satisfied. Then, for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $-1 \le \alpha \le 1, \beta \ge 0$, we have

$$|\mathcal{D}_q^{\lambda} f(z)| \le |z| - \frac{1-\alpha}{[2-\alpha+\beta]} |z|^2 \text{ and } |\mathcal{D}_q^{\lambda} f(z)| \ge |z| + \frac{1-\alpha}{[2-\alpha+\beta]} |z|^2$$

Proof. By using Theorem 2, one can verify that

$$[2 - \alpha + \beta] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda + 1; q)_{k-1}}{(q; q)_{k-1}} |a_k| \le \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] \frac{(\lambda + 1; q)_{k-1}}{(q; q)_{k-1}} |a_k| \le 1 - \alpha,$$

then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+1;q)_{k-1}}{(q;q)_{k-1}} |a_k| \le \frac{1-\alpha}{[2-\alpha+\beta]}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{split} |\mathcal{D}_{q}^{\lambda}f(z)| &\leq |z| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+1;q)_{k-1}}{(q;q)_{k-1}} |a_{k}||z|^{k} \\ &\leq |z| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+1;q)_{k-1}}{(q;q)_{k-1}} |a_{k}||z|^{2} \\ &\leq |z| + \frac{1-\alpha}{[2-\alpha+\beta]} |z|^{2}. \end{split}$$

The proof of the second assertion is similar to the proof of the first assertion.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work presented here was partially supported by LRGS/TD/2011/UKM/ICT/03/02.

REFERENCES

- 1. F. Rønning, "Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions", *Pros. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 118, 1993, pp. 189-196.
- 2. F. Rønning, "Integral representations for bounded starlike functions", Annal. Polon. Math., vol. 60, 1995, pp. 289-297.
- 3. A. W. Goodman, "On uniformly convex functions", Ann. polon. Math., vol. 56, 1991, pp. 87-92.
- 4. A. W. Goodman, "On uniformly starlike functions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 155, no. 2, 1991, pp. 364–370.
- 5. S. Kanas and H. M. Srivastava, "Linear operators associated with k-uniformly convex functions," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 9, no. 2, 2000, pp. 121–132.
- 6. W. C. Ma and D. Minda, "Uniformly convex functions," Annales Polonici Mathematici, vol. 57, no. 2, 1992, pp. 165–175.
- S. Shams, S. R. Kulkarni, and J. M. Jahangiri, "Classes of uniformly starlike and convex functions," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2004, no. 55, 2004, pp. 2959–2961.
- J. Nishiwaki and S. Owa, "Certain classes of analytic functions concerned with uniformly starlike and convex functions," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 187, no. 1, 2007, pp. 350–355.
- 9. G.Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic Hypergeometric Series, Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- 10. H. Exton, q-Hypergeometric functions and applications, Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester, 347 pages, (1983).
- 11. S. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, Proceedings of the America Mathematical Society, vol. 49, 1975, pp. 109-115.