Corrosion Inhibition of Aluminium in Acidic Medium using Amino Acid (Methionine)

Wisal A. Isa
Zainab Wajdi Ahmed
College of Education for Pure Sciences Ibn-Al-Haitham,
University of Baghdad, Baghdad-Iraq.

Abstract:
Corrosion behavior of aluminium in 0.6 mol dm$^{-3}$ NaCL solution in acidic medium ($pH = 0.7$) was investigated in the absence and presence of different concentrations of amino acid, methionine, as environmentally – friendly corrosion inhibitor over temperature range (293-308)K. The investigation involved electrochemical polarization method using potentiostatic technique and optical microscopy, the inhibition efficiency increased with an increase in inhibitor concentration but decreased with increase in temperature. Results showed that the inhibition occurs through adsorption of the inhibitor molecules on the metal surface and it was found to obey Langmuir adsorption isotherm. Some thermodynamic parameters ($\Delta G_{ads}$) And activation energy ($E_a$)were calculated to elaborate the mechanism of corrosion inhibition. The polarization measurements indicated that methionine is of mixed type. The surface characteristic of the inhibited and uninhibited metal samples were investigated by optical microscopy.
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Introduction
Aluminium and its alloys have a remarkable economic and attractive materials for engineering applications owing to its low density, high thermal and electrical conductivity, attractive appearance and relatively good corrosion resistance. Aluminium is actually a very active metal, meaning that its nature to oxidize is very quickly, while a weakness for most metals; this quality is in fact the key to its ability to resist corrosion. The combination of these properties makes it a preferred choice for many industrial applications such as automobiles, aviation, aerospace, food handling, containers, electronic devices, buildings, etc[1].

Corrosion is the deterioration of metal by chemical attack or reaction with its environment. It is constant and continuous problem, often difficult to eliminate completely. Prevention would be more practical and achievable than complete elimination[2].

Corrosion of metal is caused by electrochemical reaction between a metal (or an alloy) and aqueous phase. It proceeds according to a complex electrochemical process that is related to the atomic structure of matter. The corrosion of metal is the result of two simultaneous reactions that are in electrical equilibrium, i.e. oxidation of metal to ions and reduction of hydrogen to hydrogen gas [3].

Hydrochloric acid and sulphuric acid solutions are used for pickling of aluminium or for its chemical or electrochemical etching. It is very important to add corrosion inhibitors to decrease the rate of metal dissolution using organic compounds. It is known that the organic compounds are effective corrosion inhibitors due to their ability to form an adsorbed protective film at the metal surface[3,4].
Unfortunately, most of the inhibitors available in the markets are not only expensive but also toxic to living beings. Again, the safety of environmental issues of corrosion inhibitors which may arise industry has always been a global concern. To avoid toxicity of numerous compounds tested as inhibitors, natural compounds, regarded as environmentally friendly inhibitors. Amino acids have been tested as inhibitors of corrosion of a variety of metals, for example copper, aluminium and steel corrosion in acid media. They are relatively easy to produce with high purity at low cost, non-toxic and soluble in aqueous media.

In the present work, the inhibitive effectiveness of amino acid (methionine), have been studied in retarding corrosion of aluminium in 0.2M H₂SO₄ by use of electrochemical polarization measurements. Furthermore, this study aim to throw some light on the role of the functional groups of the inhibitors used in the adsorption process as well as the influence of the nature of the medium on the corrosion mechanism.

Optical microscopy was employed to observe the surface morphology of the aluminium corroded in test solution.

2. Experimental Part
2.1 Materials

The experiments were performed with aluminium sheets (99.8% purity) of 2mm thickness which was mechanically press-cut into circular form 2cm diameter. All chemicals and reagents used are with analytical grade and use without further purification, they include:

a. Sodium chloride, was used for preparation of the aggressive solution of 0.6mol dm⁻³.

b. Sulphuric acid.

c. The amino acid (L-Methionine) tested as inhibitor (>99% purity). Its structure is shown below.

\[
\text{H}_2\text{N} \underbrace{\text{CH}}_{\text{S}} - \text{COOH}
\]

2.2. Solution

The aggressive solution used was made of A.R. Sodium chloride to prepare 0.6mol dm⁻³ concentration in pH = 0.7. Doubled distilled water was used for the preparation. For each experiment a freshly solution was made. Three concentrations of the inhibitor (methionine) were used (10⁻³, 5 × 10⁻³ and 10⁻²) mol dm⁻³.

2.3 Potentiostatic Polarization Measurements:

The potentiostatic polarization measurements were carried out with aluminium sheets (explained before) having an exposed surface area of 1cm² to corrosive medium [in absence and presence the inhibitor]. The open side of the working electrode (aluminium sheet) was grinded and polished mechanically using wheel machine. All samples were grinded via a series grades of emery papers [100, 200, 400, 800, 1200 and 2000] and polished with smooth cloth to a mirror finish. The specimen were degreased with acetone and thoroughly washed with distilled water then dried and kept in a desicator until use.

Polarization measurements were performed in a three electrodes cell with aluminium specimen of 1cm² exposed area, a platinum electrode and silver-silver chloride in
saturated KCL solution were used as working, auxiliary (counter) and reference electrodes respectively.

The measurements were carried out using M lab potentionstat / Galvanostat 200 Germany obtained from Bank Electronic Intelligent Controls Gmb H. It was connected to personal computer desktop the Mlab software cares for controlling the potentiostat, recording and processing data. It provides electrochemical calculations like Tafel line evaluation, re-scaling the potential and integrating.

The experiments were performed in 0.6mol dm$^{-3}$ NaCL solution of pH = 0.7 in absence and presence of three different concentrations of the inhibitor (methionine) over the temperature range (293-308)K. The experiments were carried out at a scan rate of 2mV/s.

Corrosion current density ($i_{corr}$) and corrosion potential ($E_{corr}$) were determined from the polarization curve, in addition other informations were obtained such as Tafel slopes ($b_c$ and $b_a$) weight loss and penetration values. In order to test the reproducibility of the results, the experiments were done in triplicate.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Tafel polarization measurements

The effect of 0.6 mol. dm$^{-3}$ NaCL solution in acidic medium on the corrosion of aluminium sample was studied using Tafel polarization technique. Figure 1 represent the potentiostatic polarization curves of aluminium at four temperature in range of (293-308)K. Corrosion parameters such as corrosion potential ($E_{corr}$), corrosion current density ($i_{corr}$), anodic slope ($b_a$) and cathodic slope ($b_c$) are obtained from the Tafel polarization curves. Results are tabulated in Table 2.

The results indicate the increase in the corrosion current density ($i_{corr}$) with an increase in temperature.

The negative shift in the corrosion potential ($E_{corr}$) with the increase in temperature indicates that the anodic process is much more affected than the cathodic process, this observation is in accordance with other published results$^{[1,2]}$ which proposed the dependence of ($i_{corr}$) and ($E_{corr}$) on solution parameters. The change in ($b_a$) and ($b_c$) reflects the mechanism of corrosion process.

The electrochemical cathodic and anodic reactions of aluminium in acidic solution can be described as follows$^{[8]}$.

\[
AL + H_2O \rightarrow ALOH_{(ads)} + H^+ +
\]

\[
ALOH_{(ads)} + 5H_2O + H^+ \rightarrow Al^{3+} + 6H_2O + 2e^+
\]

\[
AL^{3+}H_2O \rightarrow [ALOH]^{2+} + H^+
\]

\[
[ALOH]^{2+} + X^- \rightarrow [ALOHX]^+
\]

Thus soluble complex ion formed leads to the dissolution of the metal.

3.2 Effect of Temperature

The effect of temperature on the corrosion rate of aluminium (expressed by $i_{corr}$) was studied by measuring the corrosion at the temperature range of (293-308)K. The dependence of the corrosion current density ($i_{corr}$) on temperature followed Arrhenius equation$^{[9]}$.

\[
rate (r) \equiv i_{corr} = A \exp(-E_a/RT) \quad \ldots (1)
\]
which can be expressed in logarithmic form:

\[
\ln i_{\text{corr}} \equiv \ln A - \frac{E_a}{RT} \quad \ldots (2)
\]

Where \( A \) and \( E_a \) are respectively the pre-exponential factor and the activation energy of corrosion reaction.

A typical linear plot relating values of \( \ln i_{\text{corr}} \) to the reciprocal of temperature \( \frac{1}{T} \) as shown in Figure 2 was obtained.

The values of \( E_a \) could be derived from the slope of the line, and when the linear plot of Figure 2 was extrapolated to \( \ln i_{\text{corr}} \) value at \( \frac{1}{T} = 0 \), the value of \( A \) could be obtained.

Table 3 represents the values of \( E_a, \Delta S^* \) and the pre-exponential factor \( (A) \) for aluminium sample in (\( pH = 0.7 \)).

Entropy of activation (\( \Delta S^* \)) was calculated from the value of \( (A) \) using the relationship:

\[
A = \frac{kT}{h} \exp \frac{\Delta S^*}{R} \quad \ldots (3)
\]

were \( (k) \) is boltzman constant, \( (h) \) is Plank constant, \( (R) \) is the universal gas constant and \( (T) \) the absolute temperature of the solution\(^{10,11} \).

The entropy of activation is negative, this implies that activated complex in the rate determining step represents association than dissociation, indicating that a decrease in disorder takes place, in going from reactants to the activated complex.

Figure 3 shows the typical polarization curves of aluminium in 0.6mol dm\(^{-3}\) NaCL solution containing three different concentrations of amino acid (Methionine) as a green inhibitor over the temperature range (293-308)K. Table (4) presents the polarization data \( E_{\text{cor}} \) and \( i_{\text{corr}} \) and from these data it can be noticed that the addition of methionine caused a decrease in corrosion current densities of aluminium, the inhibition effect of methionine increases as the concentration of the inhibitor increases in the range \( 10^{-2} \) – \( 10^{-3} \)mol dm\(^{-3}\) at all temperatures of study.

Table 5 shows the values of inhibition efficiencies (\( IE \% \)) which were calculated from equation(4):

\[
IE \equiv \frac{i_{\text{corr}}^0 - i_{\text{corr}}}{i_{\text{corr}}^0} \quad \ldots (4)
\]

Where \( i_{\text{corr}}^0 \) and \( i_{\text{corr}} \) are corrosion current densities in absence and presence of the inhibitor respectively.

### 3.3 Corrosion Inhibition of aluminium by Methionine

The essential effect of corrosion inhibition is because of the presence of electron donor groups (S,N,O) in the molecular structure of the Methionine. It is well know that the presence of heteroatoms, for nitrogen, oxygen and sulfur in the inhibitor structure results in better inhibition\(^{12} \). Also the most organic substance employed as corrosion inhibitors can adsorb on the metal surface through heteroatoms, Its inhibition efficiency should follow the sequence \( O < N < S \)\(^{13} \). Electron pairs on the heteroatoms can be shared with metal orbitals, forming an insoluble complex that protect the surface from the aggressive ions by
blocking of its corrosion sites and hence decreasing the corrosion rate\textsuperscript{[14]}. The presence of pairs of free electrons on sulfur, nitrogen and oxygen atoms favours adsorption of methionine and, thus, the best efficiency of this inhibitor.

The activation parameters such as activation energy ($E_a^*$) and the entropy of activation ($\Delta S_a^*$) in the range of the studied temperatures (293-308)K for corrosion inhibition of aluminium in 0.6$\text{mol dm}^{-3}$ $\text{NaCl}$ solution in the presence of various concentrations of methionine were calculated using Arrhenius equation:

\begin{equation}
rate \equiv i_{corr} = A \exp \left( \frac{-E_a}{RT} \right) \quad \ldots \quad (5)
\end{equation}

The calculated values $E_a^*$ and $\Delta S_a^*$ are summarized in Table (6). In the presence of the inhibitor, an increase in $E_a^*$ values were observed, the variation of activation energy $E_a^*$ in the presence of different concentrations of methionine can be illustrated as follows\textsuperscript{[15]}, higher values of ($E_a^*$) were found in presence of the inhibitor than those without inhibitor, i.e., the addition of methionine raise the energy barrier for the corrosion process of aluminium in chloride acid solution\textsuperscript{[16]}.

It was found that there is a direct relation between the values of ($E_a^*$) and $A$, i.e. simultaneous increase or decrease in ($E_a^*$) and $\ln A$ for a particular system which can be ascribed to the compensation effect which describe the kinetics of catalytic and tarnishing reaction on the metal.

The entropy values of activation, $\Delta S_a^*$, in the presence of methionine are negative implying the rate determining step for the activated complex is the association rather than dissociation step, in addition the adsorption process is accompanied by an increase in entropy which is the driving force for the adsorption of methionine on to the aluminium surface\textsuperscript{[17]}.

### 3.4 Thermodynamic parameters of the adsorption isotherm

The efficiency of organic compounds as good corrosion inhibitors depends mainly on their adsorption ability on the metal surface. Basic information about the interaction between inhibitor and metal can be provided by the adsorption isotherm. The investigation of the relation between corrosion inhibition and adsorption of inhibitor is of great importance.

The surface coverage Table (7) and the concentration of methionine solution ($c_{\text{meth}}$) were tested by fitting to various isotherms like: Langmuir, Tempkin and freundlich. However, the fit was obtaind with Langmuir isotherm as shown in Figure (4) which is given by the following equation\textsuperscript{[18]}.

\begin{equation}
\frac{c_{\text{met}}}{\theta} \equiv \frac{1}{k_{\text{ad}}} + C_{\text{met}} \quad \ldots \quad (6)
\end{equation}

Where $k_{\text{ad}}$ is the equilibrium constant of the adsorption / desorption process, and it reflects the affinity of the inhibitor molecules towards surface adsorption sites.

From the intercepts of the straight lines on the $C_{\text{met}}/\theta$ axis Fig (4) leads to the equilibrium constant for the adsorption / desorption of methionine process. Table (8). The high value of $K_{\text{ads}}$ reveals that the methionine molecule possesses strong adsorption ability on to the aluminium.

However, $k_{\text{ad}}$ decreased with an increase of temperature indicating that adsorption of methionine on to the metal surface was favorable at lower temperatures.
The equilibrium constant of the adsorption / desorption \((k_{ads})\) was related to the standard free energy of adsorption according to the equation.

\[
\Delta G_{ads}^0 = -RTm(55.5 \cdot k_{ads}) \quad \ldots \ (7)
\]

Where \(R\) is the universal gas constant, \(T\) is the absolute temperature, and 55.5 is concentration of water in solution.

The standard free energy of adsorption were calculated and are given in table (8). Generally the standard free energy of adsorption values \(-25kJ.mol^{-1}\) or less negative are associated with an electrostatic interaction between charged metal surface or more negative involves charge sharing or transfer from the inhibitor molecules to the metal surface to form a coordinate covalent bond. So it can be concluded that adsorption of methionine on to aluminium surface takes place through both physical and chemical adsorption\(^{19}\).

From Table (4) it was clearly seen that the presence of methionine reduces the corrosion rate of aluminium in sulphuric acid solution. As its well known that different substituents on the organic molecules polarize the functional group in a different manner\(^{11}\). This indicates that the protective effect of methionine is not solely due to their reactivity with sulphuric acid in acidic media, \(NH_2\) of amino acid molecule is readily protonated to form \(NH_3^+\). Also the addition of amino acids with aliphatic radical \(R\) leads to decrease corrosion current density but when the radical contains heteroatom as N,O or S a decrease of corrosion current densities in the presence of methionine at \(10^{-3}, 5 \times 10^{-3}\) and \(10^{-2}mol. \ dm^{-3}\) was noted.

\(E_{corr}\) shifts to little more negative values in the presence of methionine tested as shown in Table (7).

This result indicates that the inhibitors have been adsorbed to both cathodic and anodic areas. That means methionine is mixed type inhibitor. The same results have been reported by other authors\(^{20,21}\).

Then the addition of amino acids molecules in sulphuric acid solution seems that no effect on anodic behavior is observed. This result shows that the inhibitory action of these compounds depends on the potential for higher \(E_{corr}\) potential inhibitory character disappears.

It is generally accepted that the first step in the adsorption of methionine on the metal surface usually involves the replacement of one or more water molecules adsorbed at the metal surface\(^{22}\).

\[
Meth_{(soIn)} + H_2O_{ads} \rightleftharpoons Meth_{ads} + H_2O_{(soIn)}
\]

The inhibitor methionine may then combine with freshly generated \(Al^{+3}\) ions on the aluminium surface, forming metal inhibitor complex\(^{23}\).

\[
Al \rightarrow Al^{+3} + 3e \\
Al^{+3} + Meth_{ads} \rightarrow [Al - Meth]_{ads}
\]

Valuable information about the mechanism of corrosion inhibition can be provided by the values of thermodynamic parameters for the adsorption of inhibitor. Thermodynamically, \(\Delta G_{ads}^0\) were related to the standard enthalpy, \(\Delta H_{ads}^0\) and standard entropy, \(\Delta S_{ads}^0\) according to\(^{8}\).

\[
\Delta G_{ads}^0 = \Delta H_{ads}^0 - T\Delta S_{ads}^0 \quad \ldots \ (8)
\]
And the standard enthalpy of adsorption can be calculated on basis of the Van't Hoff formula:

\[
\ln K_{ads} = - \frac{\Delta H^0_{ads}}{RT} + \text{constant} \quad \ldots (9)
\]

A plot of \( \ln k_{ads} \) vs. \( 1/T \) gives a straight line as shown in Fig (5). The slope of straight line is \( -\frac{\Delta H^0_{ads}}{R} \).

The negative sign of \( \Delta H^0_{ads} \) reveals that the adsorption of inhibitor molecules is an exothermic process. Generally, an exothermic adsorption process suggests either physisorption or chemisorption while endothermic process is attributed to chemisorption. The unshared electron pairs in investigated molecules may interact with P-orbitals of aluminium to provide a protective chemisorbed film\(^{[10]}\).

The values of \( \Delta S^0_{ads} \) in the presence of inhibitor are negative that is accompanied with exothermic adsorption process. \( \Delta S^0_{ads} \) of inhibitor can be calculated from equation (8) according to\(^{[8]}\).

\[
\Delta S^0_{ads} = \frac{\Delta H^0_{ads} - \Delta G^0_{ads}}{T}
\]

### 3.5 Optical Microscopy observation:

The surface morphology of Aluminium specimens exposed to acid chloride solution in absence and presence of methionine for two hours was examined by optical microscope technique. Figure (6) shown the image of Aluminium surface which immersed in the aggressive solution with and without the addition of \( 10^{-2} \) mol. dm\(^{-3} \) of methionine. It can be observed that the specimen surface was strongly damaged in absence of inhibitor due to metal dissolution in the aggressive solution. A number of pits distributed over the surface are seen Figure (6c). However, no pits and cracks were observed in the micrograph after the addition of inhibitor to the aggressive solution Figure (6b). Inhibitor molecules adsorbed on active sites of Al and a smoother surface was observed when compared to the surface treated with uninhibited acid chloride solution.
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Figure No. (1): Polarization curves of pure Al corrosion in pH=0.7 with (0.6 mol.dm\(^{-3}\)) NaCl solution at four temperatures in the range of (293-308)K.

Figure No. (2): Arrhenius Plot relating Log (\(i_{\text{corr}}\)) to 1/T for the corrosion of pure Al in the pH = 0.7 in presence of (0.6 mol.dm\(^{-3}\)) NaCl over the temperature range (293 – 308) K.
Figure No. (3): The typical polarization curves of pure Al in with (0.6 mol.dm$^{-3}$) NaCl solution containing of L-methionine as inhibitor (a= 1x$10^{-3}$, b = 5x$10^{-3}$, c =1x$10^{-2}$ mol.dm$^{-3}$) over the temperature rang (293-308)K.
Figure No. (4): Langmuir adsorption plots of L-methionine on the pure Al in 0.6 mol.dm\(^{-3}\) NaCl solution at various temperature.

Figure No. (5): Van't Hoff plot pure Al in 0.6 mol. dm\(^{-3}\) NaCl solution containing L-methionine in pH = 0.7
(a) Before any treatment

(b) With inhibitor $1 \times 10^{-2} \text{mol dm}^{-3}$.

(c) $(0.6 \text{mol dm}^{-3}) \text{NaCl}$ solution at $pH = 0.7$

**Figure. No. (6): Typical microstructure of pure aluminium in the corroded medium at $pH = 0.7$, magnification power 400x**
Table (1): The chemical composition of pure aluminium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Ga</th>
<th>V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2): Data of polarization curve for corrosion of pure aluminium in 0.6 mol dm$^{-3}$ NaCL solution at pH(0.7) over the temperature range (293-308)K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>$i_{corr}/\mu A.cm^{-2}$</th>
<th>$-E_{corr}/mv$</th>
<th>$-\beta_c/mv$ decade$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$ba/mv$ decade$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Weight loss/ g.m$^{-2}$.day$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Penetration loss/mm.year$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>528.3</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>777.6</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>303.1</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>195.9</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (3): Activation energy ($E_a$), pre-exponential ($A$) and entropy of activation ($\Delta S^*$) for pure aluminium corrosion in 0.6 mol dm$^{-3}$ NaCL solution in pH0.7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_a$/kJ.mol$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$-\Delta S^*/J.K^{-1}.mol^{-1}$</th>
<th>A/molecule cm$^{-2}$.S$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44.86</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>60230000000×10$^{23}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (4): Values of ($E_{corr}, i_{corr}$) with different concentrations of methionine at temperature range (293-308) K in pH0.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>Inhibitor conc. mol.dm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>$-E_{corr}/mv$</th>
<th>$i_{corr}/\mu A.cm^{-2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>667.9</td>
<td>59.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>43.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>82.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>74.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>645.9</td>
<td>103.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>179.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>131.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table (5): Values of inhibitor efficiencies (IE%) calculated from $i_{corr}$. For pure aluminium in pH0.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. of methionine</th>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>IE% from $i_{corr}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>53.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>298</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>303</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>308</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>58.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>298</td>
<td>54.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>303</td>
<td>53.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>308</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>66.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>298</td>
<td>64.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>303</td>
<td>62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>308</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (6): Activation energy ($E_a$), pre-exponential factor ($A$) and entropy of activation ($\Delta S^*$) for the corrosion of pure aluminium in the pH value 0.7 in 0.6mol.dm$^{-3}$ NaCl solution and different concentrations of L-methionine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. of L-methionine mol.dm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>$E_a$/kJ.mol$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$-\Delta S^*/K^{-1}.mol^{-1}$</th>
<th>$A$/molecule cm$^{-2}.S^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>45.96</td>
<td>52.25</td>
<td>95458116980$\times$10$^{23}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>48.83</td>
<td>48.45</td>
<td>169751204000$\times$10$^{23}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>37.62</td>
<td>6023000000$\times$10$^{13}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (7): Chosen corrosion parameter, degree of surface coverage and corrosion inhibition efficiency for pure aluminium in 0.6mol.dm$^{-3}$ NaCl solution in pH = 0.7 with different concentrations of methionine at various temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>conc. Meth. mol.dm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>$-E_{corr}$/mv</th>
<th>$i_{corr}$/µA.cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>$\theta$</th>
<th>IE%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>667.9</td>
<td>59.98</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>53.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>58.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>43.73</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>66.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>82.44</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>74.75</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>54.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>64.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>645.9</td>
<td>103.66</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>53.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>179.96</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>131.7</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table (8): Equilibrium constant adsorption / desorption, standard free energy, enthalpy and entropy of adsorption onto pure aluminium in $0.6 \text{mol.dm}^{-3} \text{NaCL}$ solution in $pH = 0.7$ in the presence of methionine at various concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>$k_{ads} \text{ mol}^{-1}$</th>
<th>$-\Delta G_{ads}^0 \text{kJ.mol}^{-1}$</th>
<th>$-\Delta H_{ads}^0 \text{kJ.mol}^{-1}$</th>
<th>$-\Delta S_{ads}^0 \text{J.mol}^{-1}.K^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td>1666.7</td>
<td>26.75</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>180.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>1428</td>
<td>27.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>178.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>27.70</td>
<td></td>
<td>177.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>28.12</td>
<td></td>
<td>175.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

اعاقة تآكل الألمنيوم في المحيط الحامضي باستخدام الحامض الأميني المثيونين

وصال عبد العزيز عيسى
زيدن وجدي أحمد
قسم الكيمياء / كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة (ابن الهيثم) / جامعة بغداد

الخلاصة:

ينتقل موضوع البحث دراسة كهروكيميائية لسلوك تآكل الألمنيوم في محلول حمضي ($pH = 0.7$) للكلوريد الصوديوم بتركيز ($0.6 \text{mol.dm}^{-3}$) بغياب ووجود تركيز مختلفة من الحامض الأميني المثيونين كمثبط صديق للبيئة على مدى درجات الحرارة ($293-308$ K) كما اشتملت الدراسة على طريقة الاستقطاب الكهروكيميائي باستخدام جهاز المجهز الساكن والمجهر الضوئي.

وجد أن كفاءة التثبيط تزداد بزيادة تركيز المثبط لكنها تقل بزيادة درجة الحرارة. أظهرت النتائج ان التثبيط يحدث من خلال امتلاك جزيئات المثبط على سطح الفلز (الألمنيوم) ووجد بأنها تتبع مسار درجة الحرارة للاستقرار ($\Delta G_{ads}$). وقد تم حساب بعض المعاملات الترموديناميكية ($\Delta E$) لتوضيح ميكانيكا تثبيط التآكل. قياسات الاستقطاب أوضح أن المثيونين مثبط من النوع المختلط (mixed type inhibitor) الميكروسكوب (optical microscope).

الكلمات المفتاحية: الالمنيوم، المثيونين، ميكانيكا تثبيط التآكل، المعالم الترموديناميكية.