#### **PAPER • OPEN ACCESS**

# Investigation of strengths distributions of Gamow-Teller in *sd* and *fp* shell nuclei

To cite this article: Sarah M. Obaid and Huda M. Tawfeek 2020 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 871 012086

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

### Investigation of strengths distributions of Gamow-Teller in sd and fp shell nuclei

Sarah M. Obaid<sup>1</sup> and Huda M. Tawfeek<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Physics, College of Education for Pure Science (Ibn Alhaitham), University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

corresponding author email: sarahmahdiobaid9@gmail.com

**Abstract:** The strength distribution of Gamow-Teller (GT) in the  ${}^{26}Si \rightarrow {}^{26}Al$ ,  ${}^{28}Si \rightarrow {}^{28}P$ ,  ${}^{42}Sc \rightarrow {}^{42}Ca$  and  ${}^{44}Sc \rightarrow {}^{44}Ca$ transitions are calculated by using shell model with full basis which assume the valence nucleons in the sd and fp shells. The calculation of the shell model were conducted in the sd and fp shells without any restriction by using USDB and USDA interactions for sd shell and GXFP1A, KB3G and FPD6 effective interactions for fp shell. Our theoretical results of shell model calculations compared with the data obtained from (<sup>3</sup>He, t) and (p, n) chargeexchange reactions. Calculated B(GT) strength distributions agree well with the recently available experimental data and with the summed transition strengths B(GT).

Keywords: Gamow-Teller transitions, Charge-exchange reactions, Isospin symmetry, Shell Model.

#### **1. Introduction**

The spin-isospin reaction in nuclei has long been observed using charge-exchange reactions. The neutron (proton) is converted into a proton to neutron with change of isospin T=1, with and without spin transition  $\Delta S = 1$  or  $\Delta S = 0$ . Furthermore, extensive studies have been conducted of Gamow-Teller (GT) transformations  $\Delta T = 1$ ,  $\Delta S = 1$  and (T=1, T=1, TS=1 and the transfer of angular momentum  $\Delta L = 1$ . Through the  $\sigma \tau_+$  operator these transformations are mediated, combining the same original and last states as  $\beta_+$ . The late evolution of stars is defined by weak-interaction levels via capture of electron (EC) and  $\beta$ -decay [1-8]. Since  $\beta$ -decay has only access to states within a very restricted energy range, and because of the lack of contact direct measurements with neutrinos are difficult to complete, reactions of charging-exchange with hadronic samples are a preferred tool to chart the response of Gamow-Teller.

Gamow–Teller transformation properties have been observed by Yu-Mei Zhang [9] to provide energy for combined neutron-rich fluorine, oxygen and nitrogen isotopes. The configurations of the nuclei are represented via WBT interactions in the space of p-sd shell using the nuclear-shell model. The distribution strength of Gamow-Teller equations reproduces the measured data in the region with lowenergy accurately. For shell nuclei, the strengths of Gamow-Teller, which is used to estimate weak rates of interaction in the stellar surroundings, have been estimated by a large-scale shell model calculation [10,11]. Instead of those determined by the FFN, use of these new rates has a significant influence on later predictions stages of stars [6-8].

The theoretical strength distributions should therefore be accurate and in line with experimental data. Gamow-Teller's transition forces were obtained between <sup>56</sup>Ni and <sup>56</sup>Cu, and the predictions of shell model in the p-shell utilizing interactions KB3G and GXPF1A were compared b M Sasano et al. [12]. The GXPF1A interaction estimates are much higher than those that use the KB3 G interaction [12], replicated by the GT experimental power distribution. Obaid and Majeed [13] have examined the nuclear Gamow-Teller (GT) transitional force distribution B(GT), for certain sd-shell nodes in reaction (3He, t).

It is therefore essential that the distributions of theoretical strength are accurate and in accordance with experimental data. M Sasano et al., obtained Gamow-Teller transition strengths from <sup>56</sup>Ni to <sup>56</sup>Cu and Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

The First International Conference of Pure and Engineering Sciences (ICPES2020)IOP PublishingIOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 871 (2020) 012086doi:10.1088/1757-899X/871/1/012086

compared with shell-model predictions in the pf -shell using the KB3G and GXPF1A interactions by M Sasano et al. The measured distribution of GT strengths are reproduced by GXPF1A interaction much better than the calculations that employed the KB3G interaction [12]. The nuclear Gamow-Teller (GT) transition strength distributions B(GT) have been studied by Obaid and Majeed [13], for selected sd shell nuclei in the reaction of type charge-exchange denoted as (<sup>3</sup>He, t).

The effective USDA and USDB interactions designed for the sd model region were employed and the calculated results were fairly accepted. In their report. For understanding the mechanisms for neutron star and black formation, the transitions from Gamow-Teller (GT) to  ${}^{46}\text{Ti} \rightarrow {}^{46}\text{V}$ ,  $47\text{Ti} \rightarrow {}^{47}\text{V}$ ,  ${}^{48}\text{Ti} \rightarrow {}^{48}\text{V}$  and  ${}^{50}\text{Cr} \rightarrow {}^{50}\text{Mn}$  charge-exchange-reaction were studied by Obaid and Majeed [14] in nuclear structure and astrophysical processes.

In this paper, we describe our calculations of Gamow-Teller strength distributions in sd and fp shells. The shell model calculations carried out using the shell model code NushellX@MSU[19], to obtain the B(GT) strength for  ${}^{26}\text{Si} \rightarrow {}^{26}\text{Al}$ ,  ${}^{28}\text{Si} \rightarrow {}^{28}\text{P}$ ,  ${}^{42}\text{Sc} \rightarrow {}^{42}\text{Ca}$  and  ${}^{44}\text{Sc} \rightarrow {}^{44}\text{Ca}$  in the sd and fp shells without any restriction by using USDB and USDA [15] interactions designed for sd shell region and GXFP1A, KB3G and FPD6 effective interactions designed for fp shell. The results B(GT) predictions and their accumulated B(GT) will be compared with the corresponding experimental data.

#### 2. Theoretical framework

The operator connecting the initial and the final states to the GT transition may be written as [20]

$$\langle \sigma \tau \rangle = \frac{\langle f \| \sum_{k} \sigma^{k} \tau_{\pm}^{k} \| i \rangle}{\sqrt{2J_{i}+1}} \tag{1}$$

with

$$\tau_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \tau_x + i \tau_y \right),\tag{2}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the operator of the Pauli and  $\tau$  the isospin,  $|f\rangle$  and  $|i\rangle$  and represents the final and initial transition states, respectively.

The B(GT) is the probability reduced for the transition from GT, commonly used to indicate the strength of GT [20].

$$B(GT) = \left(\frac{g_A}{g_V}\right)^2 \langle \sigma \tau \rangle^2 \tag{3}$$

where  $|g_A/g_V| = 1.26$ , is the axial-vector ratio of constants to the coupling vector. For the GT reduced elements matrix, the sum rule is [21]

$$\sum_{f} \left[ B_{i,f}(GT_{-}) - B_{i,f}(GT_{+}) \right] = 3 \left( \frac{g_{A}}{g_{V}} \right)^{2} (N_{i} - Z_{i})$$
(4)

## Results and Discussion 3.1 <sup>26</sup>Si→<sup>26</sup>Al

Figure 1 displays the measured and calculated strength distribution of B(GT) to the transition  ${}^{26}\text{Si} \rightarrow {}^{26}\text{Al}$ . The B(GT) have been calculated from the ground state of  ${}^{26}\text{Si}$  (0<sup>+</sup>)  $\rightarrow {}^{26}\text{Al}$  (1<sup>+</sup>) without imposing any restriction in the sd shell by adopting the effective interactions USDA and USDB. The GT strength distribution has been measured in a  ${}^{26}\text{Si}$  ( ${}^{3}\text{He}$ , t) experiment [21,22] and  ${}^{26}\text{Si}$  (p, n) experiment [23]. Figure 2 illustrates the accumulated sum of B(GT) versus the excited energy. The shell model theoretical calculations conducted using the effective interactions USDB and USDA interactions reproduce the data very well for both B(GT) and their accumulated sum of B(GT). The First International Conference of Pure and Engineering Sciences (ICPES2020)

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 871 (2020) 012086 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/871/1/012086



**Figure 1**: Shows the theoretical values of B(GT) compared to the corresponding experimental data [21,22,23] for  ${}^{26}Si \rightarrow {}^{26}Al$  transition.



**Figure 2**: Shows the  $\sum B(GT)$  distributions compared to measured data [21,22,23] for  ${}^{26}\text{Si} \rightarrow {}^{26}\text{Al transition}$ .

#### 3.2 <sup>28</sup>Si $\rightarrow$ <sup>28</sup>P

Figure 3 displays the shell model predictions and their comparison with the measured data of B (GT) distribution strength for <sup>28</sup>Si  $\rightarrow$  <sup>28</sup>P transition. The B(GT) transition strengths were predicted from ground level of <sup>28</sup>Si (0<sup>+</sup>) to <sup>28</sup>P (1<sup>+</sup>) states with no restriction imposed on the model space using USDA and UDSB interactions. The strength distribution of B(GT) has been measured in <sup>28</sup>Si (<sup>3</sup>He, t) experiment up to excitation energies below 6.5 MeV [24]. Figure 4 presented the accumulated sums of B (GT) versus excited energy Ex(<sup>28</sup>P). It is found that the USDA effective interaction produced an excitation energy and B(GT) strengths reproduced better by the USDA than USDB interaction.



**Figure 3**: Shows the theoretical values of B(GT) compared to the corresponding experimental data [24] for  ${}^{28}\text{Si} \rightarrow {}^{28}\text{P}$  transition.

**Figure 4**: Shows the  $\sum B(GT)$  distributions compared to measured data [24] for <sup>28</sup>Si  $\rightarrow$ <sup>28</sup>P transition.

The First International Conference of Pure and Engineering Sciences (ICPES2020)IOP PublishingIOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 871 (2020) 012086doi:10.1088/1757-899X/871/1/012086

#### 3.3 <sup>42</sup>Sc→<sup>42</sup>Ca

The calculated strength distributions of B(GT) utilizing the shell model in full fp model space without any restriction along with their comparison to the measured data for the transition  ${}^{42}Sc \rightarrow {}^{42}Ca$  is displayed in Fig.5. The B (GT) strength distribution is determined form the ground state of  ${}^{42}Sc (0^+)$  to  ${}^{42}Ca (1^+)$  states using the GXFP1A, KB3G and FPD6 effective interactions deigned for the fp model space. The measured of reaction of type charge-exchange of is observed via  ${}^{42}Sc ({}^{3}He, t ){}^{42}Ca [25]$ . Following many previous works performed by many authors the shell model prediction for the B(GT) strengths were quenched by a quenching factor  $(0.74)^2$  to fit the observed data. Figure 6 displays the accumulating sums of B(GT) versus excitation energy. The predicted shell model calculations utilizing the three effective interactions agreed very well for the total GT strength till 12 MeV of excitation energy extracted from the  ${}^{42}Sc ({}^{3}He, t)$  data.



**Figure 6**: Shows the  $\sum B(GT)$  distributions compared to measured data [25] for <sup>42</sup>Sc  $\rightarrow$  <sup>42</sup>Ca transition.



**Figure 5**: Shows the theoretical values of B(GT) compared to the corresponding experimental data [25] for  ${}^{42}Sc \rightarrow {}^{42}Ca$  transition.

#### 3.4 <sup>44</sup>Sc→<sup>44</sup>Ca

The theoretical distributions of GT strength estimated by the shell model along with the comparison with the corresponding measured data for the transition  ${}^{44}Sc \rightarrow {}^{44}Ca$  are depicted in the Fig. 7. The measured data are extracted from the  $\beta$ -decay  ${}^{44}Sc(0^+) \rightarrow {}^{44}Ca(1^+)$  till the excitation energy 6.818MeV [26]. A quenching factor of  $(0.74)^2$  were used to be multiplied our theoretical predictions following the work of other researchers in this mass region. The effective interactions GXFP1A, KB3G and FPD6 designed in this mass region were utilized to perform the calculations. The calculations of the accumulated B(GT) values are shown in Fig.8 versus the excited energy of  ${}^{44}Ca$ . The results show clearly that the shell model with these effective interactions are able to reproduce the measured data using the quenching factor of  $(0.74)^2$ .

**IOP** Publishing

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 871 (2020) 012086 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/871/1/012086



**Figure 7**: Shows the theoretical values of B(GT) compared to the corresponding experimental data [26] for  ${}^{44}Sc \rightarrow {}^{44}Ca$  transition.



**Figure 8**: Shows the  $\sum B(GT)$  distributions compared to measured data [26] for <sup>44</sup>Sc  $\rightarrow$  <sup>44</sup>Ca transition.

#### 4. Conclusion

The present study conducted by utilizing the calculations of shell model in large basis using the full sd and fp model space to study the transition strengths of and their accumulated sums for the transitions  ${}^{26}Si \rightarrow {}^{26}Si \rightarrow$ 

#### References

- [1] Fuller G M, Fowler W A, and Newman M J 1980 Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser 42 447.
- [2] Fuller G M, Fowler W A, and Newman M J 1982 Astrophys. J. 252 715.
- [3] Fuller G M, Fowler W A, and Newman M J 1982 Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 48 279.
- [4] Fuller G M, Fowler W A, and Newman M J 1985 Astrophys. J. 293 1.
- [5] Langanke K and Martínez-Pinedo G 2003 Rev. Mod. Phys. 75 819.
- [6] Heger A, Langanke K, Martínez-Pinedo G, and Woosley S E 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 1678.
- [7] Heger A, Woosley S E, Martí G, and Langanke K 2001 Astrophys. J. 560 307.
- [8] Langanke K, Martí G, Sampaio J M, Dean D J, Hix W R, Messer O E B, Mezzacappa A, Liebendörfer M, Janka H T, and Rampp M 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** 241102.
- [9] Zhang Yu-Mei 2016 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 43 045104.
- [10] Caurier E, Langanke K, Martínez-Pinedo G., and Nowacki F 1999 Nucl. Phys. A653 439.
- [11] Langanke K and Martínez-Pinedo G 2000 Nucl. Phys. A673 481.
- [12] Sasano M, Perdikakis G, Zegers RG, Austin SM, Bazin D, Brown BA, Caesar C, Cole AL, Deaven JM, Ferrante N, Guess CJ 2012 Phys. Rev. C.86 034324.
- [13] Obaid S M, and Tawfeek H M, Gamow-Teller strengths of some sd-shell nuclei in the shell model framework, submitted to Revista Mexicana de Física.

The First International Conference of Pure and Engineering Sciences (ICPES2020)IOP PublishingIOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 871 (2020) 012086doi:10.1088/1757-899X/871/1/012086

- [14] Obaid S M, and Tawfeek H M, Calculations of Gamow-Teller Transition Strengths in some fp Shell Nuclei using Shell Model, submitted to Journal of physical studies.
- [15] Brown BA, Richter WA. New 2006 Phys. Rev. C. 74034315.
- [16] Honma M, Otsuka T, Brown B A, and Mizusaki T 2005 Eur. Phys. Jour. A 25, 499.
- [17] Poves A, Sánchez-Solano J, Caurier E, Nowacki F 2001 Nucl. Phys. A. 694, 157.
- [18] Richter W A, Van Der Merw M G, Julies R E, and Brown B A 1991 Nucl. Phys. A 523 325.
- [19] Brown B A, Rae W D, McDonald E, and Horoi M 2007 MSU-NSCL report C 524 1.
- [20] Chou W-T, Warburton E K, and Brown B A 1993 Phys. Rev.C 47 163.
- [21] Endt P M 1990 Nucl. Phys. A521 1.
- [22] Fujita Y, Shimbara Y, Lisetskiy AF, Adachi T, Berg GP, Von Brentano P, Fujimura H, Fujita H, Hatanaka K, Kamiya J, Kawabata T 2003 *Phys. Rev. C.* **67** 064312.
- [23] Madey R, Flanders BS, Anderson BD, Baldwin AR, Lebo C, Watson JW, Austin SM, Galonsky A, Wildenthal BH, Foster CC1987 *Phys.Rev. C.* **35** 2011.
- [24] Fujita Y, Akimune H, Daito I, Fujiwara M, Harakeh MN, Inomata T, Jänecke J, Katori K, Lüttge C, Nakayama S, von Neumann-Cosel P 1997 *Phys. Rev. C.* **55** 1137.
- [25] Adachia T, Fujita Y, Von Brentanob P, Bothac NT, Fujitad H, Hashimotoa H, Hatanakaa K, Matsubaraa M, Nakanishie K, Nevelingf R, Ohtaa T. Gamow-Teller Strengths in A= 42 Isobars Deduced in the Combined Analysis of Tz=±1→ 0 Mirror Transitions.
- [26] Fujita Y, Adachi T, Fujita H, Algora A, Blank B, Csatlós M, Deaven JM, Estevez-Aguado E, Ganioğlu E, Guess CJ, Gulyás J 2013. *Phys. Rev. C.* 88 014308.