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#### Abstract

Background: A low-dose X-ray mammogram is considered the most useful tool for detecting early-stage breast cancer among women. Both mortality and morbidity prove to be reduced when cancer is detected early, before any signs and symptoms appear. This study aims to determine the knowledge level, attitude, and practice of women at general hospitals/consulting clinics in Baghdad city in 2017. Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted on a sample of 657 women in general hospitals/consulting clinics in Baghdad. The women were selected as an improbability sample. Their average age was between 20 and 59 years. Data collection extended from $1^{\text {st }}$ April to the end of July 2017. A pre-tested questionnaire was adopted and filled through a face-to-face interview. Data were analyzed using descriptive and analytical statistics. Results: The sociodemographic features of the participant women showed a mean age of $37.6 \pm$ 11.3 years with a majority of them ( $71.1 \%$ ) having no education and $34.6 \%$ being of high social class. More than half of the women $(61.2 \%)$ had heard of mammography, and this is significantly related to age and social class ( $<0.001$ ). Only $7.6 \%$ had adequate knowledge on mammography according to the American Cancer Society (ACS) recommendations, and the women with adequate practice of mammography had higher knowledge scores than those with inadequate practice. Conclusion: The study results suggested that the practice of breast cancer screening or mammography was inadequate in a majority of the participants and needs to be improved.
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## INTRODUCTION

The early detection of non-communicable diseases, especially those affecting a large proportion of people around the world, such as breast cancer, can play a huge role in increasing the cure rate and improving survival. Studies found that around $95 \%$ of patients with breast cancer get a better prognosis if their disease is diagnosed before metastasis and late stages. ${ }^{1,2}$
Mammography is still considered the most effective tool for detecting the smallest lump in the breast at the earliest possible stage before it can be palpable by manual examination. Thus, the American Cancer Society (ACS) has recommended yearly mammography examinations for all women starting from the age of forty. ${ }^{3,4}$ The sensitivity of mammography was mentioned as ( $75 \%-94 \%$ ), which is greater than the values obtained for Clinical Breast Examination alone or breast selfexamination, and the specificity of mammography is also high ( $83 \%-98 \%$ ). ${ }^{5}$ Mammography itself proved to be of no benefit for pregnant women, breastfed women, and young women because of the density of their breasts, which may hold tumors out. ${ }^{6}$ But it was found that routine breast screening with mammograms for women aged 50-69 years has decreased the mortality rate by $30 \%$. So, the National Cancer Institute (NCI) adopted guidelines in 1997 that all women aged $\geq 40$ years must receive mammography every $1-2$ years. ${ }^{5}$ The latest recommendation is to do it annually for women in good health. ${ }^{7,8}$ However, mammography is not sufficient for screening
breast cancer in different regions around the world. ${ }^{8}$ There are a lot of barriers such as cost, unavailability, the need for professional manpower to operate it, and the lack of knowledge among women about it. ${ }^{9}$
Women's knowledge and attitudes can positively affect the importance of this practice as mentioned in previous studies. ${ }^{10}$ Insufficient knowledge about mammography and a lower rate of screening practice can be considered as major barriers that prevent some women from getting proper medical advice. Therefore, we need to raise awareness about mammography among women to curb the problem of late detection of breast cancer and improve their survival. For that reason, this study aims to measure women's knowledge, estimate their attitude, and assess the current practice of mammography. In addition, it assesses the association between the knowledge and practice of mammography among the participant women.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and setting: An observational cross-sectional study was conducted in Baghdad city. Three government hospitals in Baghdad were selected randomly to conduct this study.
Study time and duration: Data were collected for 4 months starting from the 1st of April until the end of July 2017.
Sampling method and sample size: A convenient (non-random) sample of women attending the consulting clinic in three general hospitals in Baghdad city was taken.

Inclusion criteria: Women between 20 and 60 years of age and those who could communicate well with the interviewer were considered.
Exclusion criteria: Any current or previous breast-related problems for the participant or first-degree relatives.
The minimum sample size required for this study was calculated using the single population proportion formula. The minimum sample size required was 597. With the addition of a $15 \%$ non-response rate, the final sample size required was 687.

Data collection: The researcher conducted the interview using a structured questionnaire form designed for the present study by two experts specialized in gynecology.

Part 1: Questions related to sociodemographic characteristics:

1. Age
2. Educational level
3. Employment
4. Crowding index (persons/rooms in the household) to assess the social class.
Part 2: Knowledge and practice of and attitudes towards mammography.
Their knowledge of mammography was assessed by 5 items, which were "yes" or "no" questions. Their attitude was assessed by 2 items and was answered by 5 grades using the "Likert Type" scale. Practice was marked as adequate for women who did annual mammograms starting from the age of 40.

Ethical consideration: Official approval was obtained from the Ministry of Health
(MOH) and hospital managers before the study.
The aim of the study was explained to all the participants, and their consent was obtained. The consenting women were interviewed either while they were waiting to be seen by a doctor or after their consultation. The questionnaire ensured the anonymity of the respondents and required no names or contact information to be provided. The interviews were conducted in a discreet corner/room, away from other persons to avoid any witnessing or overhearing of the conversation. At the end of the interview, a leaflet prepared by the MOH containing the answers to any questions raised in the questionnaire was given to all the participants to increase their knowledge and awareness of the importance of mammogram screening.
Statistical analysis: Data analysis was computer-aided using SPSS version 23. Quantitative variables were presented as means and standard deviations (SD) while qualitative variables were presented by frequencies. To assess the association, the researcher used the chi-square test in addition to an independent samples $t$-test for differences in the mean of the quantitative variables. A $P$-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

Of the 723 women interviewed to get an eligible sample size, 14 (1.9\%) had a family history of breast cancer and 22 (3\%) had present or past breast pathology that needed medical care. Of those 687 eligible women, only 30 (4.3\%) did not respond, resulting in a
response rate of $95.7 \%$. So, the results presented were based on an analysis of 657 women.
In this study, the mean age and standard deviation of the participant women were 37.6 $\pm 11.3$ years. The sociodemographic features of the women who participated in this study are listed in Table 1 and show that $22.8 \%$ (less than a quarter) of the respondents never had any education at all, while $20.2 \%$ had completed their bachelor's degree and higher education. A majority of the respondents (71.1\%) were unemployed.

Table 2 shows the frequency distribution of the respondents who had ever heard of mammography according to the selected socio-demographic characteristic. More than half of the respondents (61.2\%) had heard of mammography. There were significant associations between hearing about mammography and age, education, and social class. However, no significant association was found between knowledge and employment status.
The mean age for women who had heard of mammography was $40.5 \pm 9.8$ years. The lowest frequency was in the group that had illiterate women and those who could just read and write in addition to the low social class group.

Table 3 displays positive knowledge items for mammography among those who had heard about it. A majority (93.8\%) knew the correct starting age for mammography and $72.9 \%$ believed mammography to be safe Xray radiation. Additionally, $85.3 \%$ were aware that a mammogram is the most effective test for breast cancer.
The positive attitude items for those who had heard of mammography are illustrated in Table 4. A majority believed that mammography is useful for the early detection of cancer.
The mean $\pm$ SD knowledge and attitude scores for mammography were $51.2 \pm 44$ and $58 \pm 13$, respectively.
The frequency distribution of mammography practice among women aged 40 years and older showed that a majority had never done mammography in their lives. Only 22 (7.6\%) women had it done adequately (annually) according to the ACS recommendations, as shown in Table 5.
Table 6 shows a statistically significant difference between those who adequately practiced mammography and those who did not. The mean knowledge score for women with adequate practice was higher than those with inadequate practice with a mean score of 97.3.

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents in Baghdad city.

| variables | Mean (SD) | Number | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age in years | 37.5(11.3) |  |  |
| Educational level |  |  |  |
| No education |  | 150 | 22.8 |
| Primary level |  | 125 | 19.0 |
| Intermediate level |  | 125 | 19.0 |
| Secondary level |  | 124 | 18.9 |
| Bachelor and higher |  | 133 | 20.2 |
| Employment status |  |  |  |
| unemployed |  | 467 | 71.1 |
| Previously employed |  | 30 | 4.6 |
| Employed |  | 160 | 24.4 |
| Social classes |  |  |  |
| High class |  | 227 | 34.6 |
| Middle high |  | 102 | 15.5 |
| Middle low |  | 212 | 32.3 |
| Low class |  | 116 | 17.7 |

Table2: Frequency distribution of those who have heard about mammography according to their socio-demographics.

| Socio-demographic variables | Ever heard of mammography |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No |  | Yes |  | Total |  | $P$ value |
| Age in years: mean (SD) | 32.9 | (11.9) | 40.5 | (9.8) | 37.5 | (11.3) | <0.001 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (t-test) |
|  | n | (\%) | n | (\%) | n | (\%) |  |
| Educational levels |  |  |  |  |  |  | $<0.001$ |
| No education | 125 | (83.3) | 25 | (16.7) | 150 | (100.0) | (Chi-square) |
| Primary | 16 | (12.8) | 109 | (87.2) | 125 | (100.0) |  |
| Intermediate | 8 | (6.4) | 117 | (93.6) | 125 | (100.0) |  |
| Secondary | 53 | (42.7) | 71 | (57.3) | 124 | (100.0) |  |
| Bachelor and higher | 53 | (39.8) | 80 | (60.2) | 133 | (100.0) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.09[\mathrm{NS}]$ |
| Unemployed | 169 | (36.2) | 298 | (63.8) | 467 | (100.0) | (Chi-square) |
| Previously employed | 14 | (46.7) | 16 | (53.3) | 30 | (100.0) |  |
| Employed | 72 | (45.0) | 88 | (55.0) | 160 | (100.0) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Social classes |  |  |  |  |  |  | $<0.001$ |
| High class | 55 | (24.2) | 172 | (75.8) | 227 | (100.0) | (Chi-square) |
| Middle high | 34 | (33.3) | 68 | (66.7) | 102 | (100.0) |  |
| Middle low | 81 | (38.2) | 131 | (61.8) | 212 | (100.0) |  |
| Low class | 85 | (73.3) | 31 | (26.7) | 116 | (100.0) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 255 | (38.8) | 402 | (61.2) | 657 | (100.0) |  |

Table 3: Frequency distribution of positive knowledge items for mammography among 402 women who have heard of it.

| Positive knowledge items for mammography | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A mammogram is a safe X-ray radiation | 293 | 72.9 |
| A mammogram is the most effective test for breast cancer | 343 |  |
| A mammogram is recommended for women from the age of forty onwards | 35.3 |  |
| A mammogram can detect breast cancer even before it is palpable | 377 |  |
| A mammogram should be done regularly even for women in good health | 93.8 |  |

Table 4: Frequency distribution of attitude items for mammography among 402 women who have heard of it.

| Attitude items for mammography | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Having a mammogram will decrease the chances of dying from breast cancer | 327 |  |
| Mammography is useful for the early detection of cancer | 32.0 |  |

Table 5: Mammography practice among 288 women aged 40 years and above.

| Mammography practice | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Never | 247 | 85.8 |
| Less than every 5 years | 18 | 6.3 |
| Once every 5 years | 0 | 0.0 |
| Once every 3 years | 1 | .3 |
| Once a year | 22 | 7.6 |
| Adequacy of practicing mammography | 266 | 92.4 |
| Not adequate | 22 | 7.6 |
| Adequate (at least once a year) |  |  |

Table 6: Comparison of the mean knowledge score of mammography between those who adequately practiced it and those who did not among 288 women aged 40 years and older.

|  | The practice of mammogram (only for those 40+ years of age) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knowledge score | Not adequate | Adequate | P (t-test) |
| Knowledge score for mammography |  |  | $<0.001$ |
| Range | $(0-100)$ | $(40-100)$ |  |
| Mean | 56.4 | 97.3 |  |
| SD | 40.3 | 12.8 |  |
| N | 266 | 22 |  |

## DISCUSSION

All health organizations related to breast health and breast cancer consider mammography as the most beneficial tool for the diagnosis of breast cancer among women. ${ }^{11}$

In the present study, around two-thirds of the respondents had heard about mammography and had a mean knowledge score of $51.2 \pm$ 44. On the contrary, studies from Iran ${ }^{12}$ and Nigeria ${ }^{13,14}$ have shown unsatisfactory lower knowledge levels about this practice among women.

Despite having this level of knowledge and a positive attitude regarding mammography, the results confirmed low screening practice. A majority of the women aged 40 years and above in this study had never practiced mammography in their lives. Only 7.6\% adequately (annually) practiced it according to the recommendations by ACS and other international agencies. ${ }^{15}$ This result is similar to those of studies conducted among civil servants in Nigeria ${ }^{16}$ and women in Malaysian. ${ }^{17}$
Many studies have established that women do not get a mammogram done regularly. ${ }^{14,18,19}$ Even though the rate of annual mammography examination in this study was low, it is still higher than those in the studies in Iran and Nigeria. ${ }^{12,20}$ Mammography screening in Nigeria was very low, and this might be related to a lack of awareness in addition to the unavailability of adequate facilities in some centers. ${ }^{13,21}$ In a study on Jordanian women, only 5 (16.7\%) women had had a mammogram. In addition to social norms, it was hypothesized that some fatalistic beliefs may have influenced these Jordanian women's mammography intentions. ${ }^{22}$
Compared to developed countries, the rate of undergoing a recommended mammography practice was low in this study. It was lesser than a study performed in a large German city where $55.5 \%$ of the women had a mammography. ${ }^{23}$ Also, in a study done on Arab American female immigrants, 70\% reported of never having done a mammogram. Of the women who reported of having done a mammogram, $58.1 \%$ have had
a mammogram performed every 1-2 years. The women who had not had a mammogram were more likely to have had no formal education, to have been in the US for $0-10$ years, and to be from Iraq. ${ }^{24}$ Additionally, $59.1 \%$ of women in Beirut had performed adequate mammogram examinations. ${ }^{25}$
Other studies in the United States found that mammography screening rates ranging from $41 \%$ to $66 \%$ were reported among Filipino and Korean immigrants. ${ }^{26,27}$ The difference may be due to the screening program facilities and community education programs available in the U.S.
The low rates of mammography tests among the women in this study can be partly explained by the lack of knowledge and awareness among women about the benefits of mammography in the early detection of breast cancer.
Another reason could be since it is not done as a routine screening method in hospitals and primary health care centers.
A report stated that women who were informed about breast cancer were more likely to practice breast cancer screening. This was confirmed in a study done on a sample of Turkish women, which found that women who knew about mammography guidelines were 10 times more likely to get regular mammograms. ${ }^{28}$ So, the first step should be aimed at increasing the knowledge base of Iraqi women to make them aware of this screening practice.
Recruiting a non-random sample can be considered as one of the limitations of the current research. In addition, restricting the
study to Baghdad city may also affect the generalizability of the results.

## CONCLUSIONS

Even with relatively good knowledge and a positive attitude regarding mammography, the sample group of women in the current study illustrated an inadequate practice of screening mammography.
A positive association between knowledge and practice illustrates a good indicator of a future possibility of an awareness program regarding the early detection of breast cancer. More promotions are needed regarding mammography screening to increase women's knowledge and awareness regarding its importance. Some recommendations for future research include designing larger studies with more varied populations.
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